



LE GOUVERNEMENT
DU GRAND-DUCHÉ DE LUXEMBOURG
Ministère de la Famille et de l'Intégration

FINAL VERSION DATED 31 JULY 2005

(Version revised following the Presidency
Conference on 13-14 June 2005)

**TAKING FORWARD THE EU
SOCIAL INCLUSION PROCESS**
ANNEXES

An Independent Report commissioned
by the Luxembourg Presidency
of the Council of the European Union

A. B. Atkinson
B. Cantillon
E. Marlier
and B. Nolan



*Présidence luxembourgeoise
du Conseil de l'Union européenne*



Taking Forward the EU Social Inclusion Process

A. B. Atkinson, B. Cantillon, E. Marlier and B. Nolan

- Annexes -

Final version dated 31 July 2005

ID: 11-05-0180-E

LIST OF ANNEXES

ANNEX 0	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	I
ANNEX 1	FIGURES	VII
ANNEX 2	TABLES	XXXI
ANNEX 3	APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 2	LI
ANNEX 4	MEMBERS OF THE STEERING COMMITTEE	LV
ANNEX 5	REFERENCES	LXI

ANNEX 0

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

A. COUNTRY ABBREVIATIONS

AT	Austria
BE	Belgium
CY	Cyprus
CZ	Czech Republic
DE	Germany
DK	Denmark
EE	Estonia
ES	Spain
FI	Finland
FR	France
GR	Greece
HU	Hungary
IE	Ireland
IT	Italy
LT	Lithuania
LU	Luxembourg
LV	Latvia
MT	Malta
NL	The Netherlands
PL	Poland
PT	Portugal
SE	Sweden
SI	Slovenia
SK	Slovak Republic
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States

B. OTHER ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

DG EMPL	Directorate-General “Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities” of the European Commission
DG SANCO	Directorate-General “Health & Consumer Protection” of the European Commission
ECHP	European Community Household Panel
ECHP UDB	ECHP Users’ Data Base
ECOFIN	Economic and Financial Affairs Council of the EU
EEC	European Economic Community
EHSS	European Health Survey System
EPSCO	Employment, Social Policy, Health and Consumer Council of the EU
ESSPROS	European System of Integrated Social Protection Statistics
EU	European Union
EU-10	The 10 “new” EU Member States, who joined the EU in May 2004 (CY, CZ, EE, HU, LT, LV, MT, PL, SI, SK)
EU-15	The 15 “old” EU Member States, before the May 2004 Enlargement (AT, BE, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GR, IE, IT, LU, NL, PT, SE, UK)
EUROSTAT	Statistical Office of the European Communities
EU-SILC	Community Statistics on Income and Living Conditions
FEANTSA	Fédération Européenne d’Associations Nationales Travaillant avec les Sans-Abris (European Federation of National Organisations working with the Homeless)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HBS	Household Budget Survey
HDI	Human Development Index
ICT	Information and Communication Technologies
ILO	International Labour Organisation
ISCED	International Standard Classification of Education
ISG	Indicators Sub–Group (of the Social Protection Committee)
JIM	Joint Memorandum on Social Inclusion
LFS	Labour Force Survey
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
MISSOC	Mutual Information System on Social Protection
NAP/inclusion	National Action Plan on social inclusion
NICs	Newly Industrialising Countries

NUTS	Nomenclature des Unités Territoriales Statistiques (Nomenclature of the Statistical Territorial Units)
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OMC	Open Method of Coordination
PISA	(OECD) Programme for International Student Assessment
PPS/PPP	Purchasing Power Standards/ Purchasing Power Parities
RTD	Research and Technological Development
SPC	Social Protection Committee
TSER	Targeted Socio-Economic Research
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
WI	Work intensity of households

ANNEX 1

Figures

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER 2 THE EU SOCIAL INCLUSION PROCESS AND THE KEY ISSUES

Figure 2.1	The Social Inclusion Monitoring Framework	XI
-------------------	---	----

CHAPTER 3 POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION IN THE EU

Figure 3.1	At-risk-of-poverty Rate for EU-25	XII
Figure 3.2	Concentration of those At-risk-of-poverty in EU-25	XII
Figure 3.3	Poverty Risk Plotted Against Poverty Risk Threshold (PPS) for EU-25	XIII
Figure 3.4	At-risk-of-poverty Rates for US-States (Threshold Set at 50% of Median Income for Each State), Mid 1990's.....	XIV
Figure 3.5	At-risk-of-poverty Rates for EU-25 (Threshold Set at 50% of Median Income for Each State).....	XIV
Figure 3.6	Long-term Unemployment Rate for EU-25 (2003).....	XV
Figure 3.7	Prime-Age Adults Living in Jobless Households for EU-25 (2004)	XV
Figure 3.8	Early School Leavers not in Education or Training for EU-25 (2004)	XVI
Figure 3.9	Life Expectancy (LE) at Birth by Gender for EU-25.....	XVI
Figure 3.10	Level of Deprivation on 7-Item Scale	XVII
Figure 3.11	Rankings of EU-25 countries	XVII
Figure 3.12	Joblessness (Adults) and Poverty Risk for EU-25.....	XVIII
Figure 3.13	Social Protection Expenditure and Poverty Risk for EU-25.....	XVIII
Figure 3.14	Social Protection Expenditure and Pre-transfer Poverty Risk for EU-25	XIX
Figure 3.15	Poverty Risk Pre- and Post-transfers for EU-25.....	XIX
Figure 3.16	Employment Rate and Poverty Risk for EU-25	XX
Figure 3.17a	Changes in Employment Rate and Risk of Poverty 1994-2000 for EU-15.....	XXI
Figure 3.17b	Changes in Employment Rate and Anchored Risk of Poverty 1997-2000 for EU-15	XXI
Figure 3.18	Child Poverty Risk Rate and Median Poverty Risk Gap for EU-25	XXII
Figure 3.19	National Child Poverty Risk Relative to National Overall Poverty Risk for EU-25	XXII
Figure 3.20	Children Living in Jobless Households Relative to Adult Rate for EU-25 (2004).....	XXIII

CHAPTER 4 STRENGTHENING POLICY ANALYSIS

Figure 4.1	Schematic Outline of the Determinants of Household Income	XXIV
Figure 4.2	Cross-country Correlations Between Net Social Assistance Benefits of Various Household Types Expressed as a Percentage of the 60% Median Poverty Risk Threshold)	XXV
Figure 4.3	Policies, Vulnerable Groups and Common Indicators	XXVI
Figure 4.4	Possible Architecture for a Common Framework for Policy Analysis.....	XXVI
Figure 4.5	The Challenge of Behavioural Responses.....	XXVII

CHAPTER 5 EU INDICATORS FOR POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION


Figure 5.1	Aggregating Indicators	XXVIII
Figure 5.2	Revealed Preference Approach	XXVIII

CHAPTER 6 TAKING FORWARD THE EU SOCIAL INCLUSION PROCESS

Figure 6.1	Restructured NAPs/inclusion – A Focused, Targeted and Monitored Approach	XXIX
-------------------	--	------


CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS: ASSESSMENT AND PRINCIPAL ISSUES

Figure 2.1 The Social Inclusion Monitoring Framework




Note: MISSOC = Mutual Information System on Social Protection, LFS = Labour Force Survey

Figure 3.1 At-risk-of-poverty Rate for EU-25




Source: European Commission, 2004b, Table 1 for EU-15, and 2005c, Table 8a for EU-10.
 Note: Reference year: EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000, see European Commission (2005c), page 173.

Figure 3.2 Concentration of those At-risk-of-poverty in EU-25



Source: See Figure 3.1 for at-risk-of-poverty rates; these are applied to population figures at 1 January 2003 from European Commission, 2005b, Table 2a.
 Note: Reference year: EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000, see European Commission (2005c), page 173.

Figure 3.3 Poverty Risk Plotted Against Poverty Risk Threshold (PPS) for EU-25




Source: See Figure 3.1 for at-risk-of-poverty rates; poverty thresholds from European Commission, 2004b, Table 5 for EU-15, and 2005c, Table 8a for EU-10.

Notes: (1) Purchasing Power Standards (PPS) converts monetary indicators expressed in a national currency to an artificial common currency that equalises the purchasing power of different national currencies (including those countries that share a common currency). In other words, PPS is both a price deflator and a currency converter.

(2) Regression line fitted by ordinary least squares to EU-15 observations.


(3) Reference year: EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000, see European Commission (2005c), page 173.

Figure 3.4 At-risk-of-poverty Rates for US-States (Threshold Set at 50% of Median Income for Each State), Mid 1990's



Source: Jesuit, Rainwater and Smeeding, 2002.


Figure 3.5 At-risk-of-poverty Rates for EU-25 (Threshold Set at 50% of Median Income for Each State)



Source: European Commission, 2004b, Table 8 for EU-15, and 2005c, Table 8a for EU-10.


Note: Reference year: EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for a CY, 1997, and MT, 2000, see European Commission (2005c), page 173.

Figure 3.6 Long-term Unemployment Rate for EU-25 (2003)




Source: European Commission, 2005b, Table 5a.

Figure 3.7 Prime-Age Adults Living in Jobless Households for EU-25 (2004)




Source: European Commission, 2005b, Table 5a; figure for SE relates to 1999.

Figure 3.8 Early School Leavers not in Education or Training for EU-25 (2004)




Source: European Commission, 2005b, Table 6a; figures for LU and NL relate to 2003.

Figure 3.9 Life Expectancy (LE) at Birth by Gender for EU-25




Source: European Commission, 2005b, Table 7a.
 Note: Reference year for EU-25: 2002 except CY: 1995.

Figure 3.10 Level of Deprivation on 7-Item Scale




Source: European Commission, 2004f, Table 3.
 Note: Reference year for EU-25: 2001. SE not included.

Figure 3.11 Rankings of EU-25 countries



Source: See Figures 3.1, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.
 Notes: (1) Countries of equal ranking are ordered randomly.
 (2) Reference year: Poverty risk: EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000. Long-term unemployment: 2003. People living in jobless households: 2004 except for SE, 1999. Early school leavers: 2004 except for LU and NL, 2003.


Figure 3.12 Joblessness (Adults) and Poverty Risk for EU-25



Source: See Figures 3.1 and 3.7.

Note: Reference year: At-risk-of-poverty rate EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000; Joblessness: 2004, except 1999 for SE.

Figure 3.13 Social Protection Expenditure and Poverty Risk for EU-25




Source: See Figure 3.1 for at-risk-of-poverty rate; total social protection expenditure from European Commission, 2005b, Table 4a.

Notes: (1) Reference year: At-risk-of-poverty rate EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for MT, 2000; social protection expenditure: 2001, except 2002 for CZ, HU, SI and SK; CY not included.


(2) Regression line fitted by ordinary least squares to EU-15 observations.

Figure 3.14 Social Protection Expenditure and Pre-transfer Poverty Risk for EU-25




Source: At-risk-of-poverty rate from European Commission, 2004b, Table 12 for EU-15, and 2005c for EU10; for social protection expenditure, see Figure 3.13.
 Note: Reference year: At-risk-of-poverty rate EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for MT, 2000; social protection expenditure: 2001, except 2002 for CZ, HU, SI and SK; CY not included.

Figure 3.15 Poverty Risk Pre- and Post-transfers for EU-25




Source: European Commission, 2004b, Table 12 for EU-15 and 2005c, Table 8a for EU-10.
 Notes: (1) Reference year: At-risk-of-poverty rate EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000.
 (2) The line shows where the values of the national pre- and post transfers poverty risks are the same.

Figure 3.16 Employment Rate and Poverty Risk for EU-25




Source: See Figure 3.1 for at-risk-of-poverty rate; employment rate from Eurostat website, 29 April 2005.
 Note: Reference year: At-risk-of-poverty rate EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000; employment rate: EU-15 = 2000, EU-10 = 2002, except CY and MT, 2000.

Figure 3.17a Changes in Employment Rate and Risk of Poverty 1994-2000 for EU-15




Source: European Commission, 2004b, Table 1; employment rate from Eurostat website 29 April 2005.
Notes: Reference period for FI and SE: 1997-2000. PT not included.

Figure 3.17b Changes in Employment Rate and Anchored Risk of Poverty 1997-2000 for EU-15



Source: European Commission, 2004b, Table 11; employment rate from Eurostat website 29 April 2005.
Note: PT not included.


Figure 3.18 Child Poverty Risk Rate and Median Poverty Risk Gap for EU-25



Source: European Commission, 2004b, Tables 1 and 4 for EU-15, and 2005c, Table 8a for EU-10.

Note: Reference year: At-risk-of-poverty rate EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000.


Figure 3.19 National Child Poverty Risk Relative to National Overall Poverty Risk for EU-25



Source: European Commission, 2004b, Table 1 for EU-15, and 2005c, Table 8a for EU-10.


Note: Reference year: EU-15 = 2001 figures, income reference year 2000; EU-10 = income reference year 2002 except for CY, 1997, and MT, 2000.

Figure 3.20 Children Living in Jobless Households Relative to Adult Rate for EU-25 (2004)




Source: European Commission, 2005b, Table 5a.
 Notes: Figures for SE relate to 1999. PL not included.

Figure 4.1 Schematic Outline of the Determinants of Household Income



Note: The items shown in normal type are the specified characteristics of the household; the variables shown in italics are calculated as functions of these characteristics and the parameters of the tax and benefits systems.

Figure 4.2 Cross-country Correlations Between Net Social Assistance Benefits of Various Household Types Expressed as a Percentage of the 60% Median Poverty Risk Threshold



Sources: Statistical annex to European Commission (2004b) for 60% at-risk-of-poverty rate. Cantillon, Van Mechelen and Van den Bosch (2004). for net social assistance benefits.
 Note: The lines show where the values of the net social assistance levels are the same percentage of the threshold for the two family types shown in the respective boxes.

Figure 4.3 Policies, Vulnerable Groups and Common Indicators




Figure 4.4 Possible Architecture for a Common Framework for Policy Analysis




Figure 4.5 The Challenge of Behavioural Responses




Figure 5.1 Aggregating Indicators




Figure 5.2 Revealed Preference Approach





Figure 6.1 Restructured NAPs/inclusion – A Focused, Targeted and Monitored Approach



ANNEX 2

Tables

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER 2 THE EU SOCIAL INCLUSION PROCESS AND THE KEY ISSUES

Tables 2.1a	Some Important Steps in EU Cooperation in the Social Area between 1993 and the 2004 EU Enlargement to 25 Member States	XXXV
Table 2.1b	Some Important Steps in EU Cooperation in the Social Area since the 2004 EU Enlargement to 25 Member States	XXXVI
Table 2.2a	Revised List of Laeken Indicators as Agreed to Date by the Social Protection Committee – Primary Indicators	XXXVII
Table 2.2b	Revised List of Laeken Indicators as Agreed to Date by the Social Protection Committee – Secondary Indicators	XXXVIII
Table 2.3	Shortlist of Structural Indicators	XXXIX
Table 2.4	Long List of Structural Indicators for Social Cohesion	XXXIX

CHAPTER 3 POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION IN THE EU

Table 3.1	Dispersion Indicators for At-risk-of-poverty rates (Share of Persons Living in Households with an Equivalised Income below 50% of State-Level (US) or National (EU) Median Income)	XL
Table 3.2	Ranking of EU-25 Member States on Four Dimensions	XL
Table 3.3	Correlation Coefficients between Different Indicators	XLI
Table 3.4a	Pattern of Social Indicators for the 25 Member States - Highlighting Less than EU Median Performing Countries	XLII
Table 3.4b	Pattern of Social Indicators for the 25 Member States - Highlighting Possible Best Practices.....	XLIII
Table 3.5a	Breakdowns for the 25 Member States - Highlighting Less than EU Median Performing Countries (Upper Part of Table) and Subgroups with Higher Poverty Risk than the National Average (Lower Part of Table)	XLIV
Table 3.5b	Breakdowns for the 25 Member States - Highlighting Possible Best Practices, i.e. Above EU Median Performing Countries (Upper Part of Table) and Subgroups with Lower Poverty Risk than the National Average (Lower Part of Table).....	XLV

CHAPTER 4 STRENGTHENING POLICY ANALYSIS

Table 4.1	Illustrative Matrix of Policy Interventions and Vulnerable Groups	XLVI
------------------	---	------

CHAPTER 5 EU INDICATORS FOR POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Table 5.1	Main Potential Deprivation Items in EU-SILC, by Dimensions	XLVII
Table 5.2a	Suggested Primary/Headline EU Indicators for Social Inclusion with Respective Breakdowns, by Dimensions	XLVIII
Table 5.2b	Suggested Secondary EU Indicators for Social Inclusion with Respective Breakdowns, by Dimensions	XLIX

CHAPTER 6 TAKING FORWARD THE EU SOCIAL INCLUSION PROCESS

Table 6.1	Use of Quantified Targets in the NAPs/inclusion in the EU-15	L
Table 6.2	Use of Quantified Targets in the NAPs/inclusion in the 10 New Member States	L

CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS: ASSESSMENT AND PRINCIPAL ISSUES

Table 2.1a Some Important Steps in EU Cooperation in the Social Area between 1993 and the 2004 EU Enlargement to 25 Member States

June 1993	The Copenhagen European Council invites Central and Eastern European countries that so wish to join the EU and adopts the Copenhagen membership criteria
March 1994 – June 1996	Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Latvia, Estonia, Lithuania, Bulgaria, Czech Republic and finally Slovenia apply for EU membership [Cyprus, Malta and Turkey applied before 1993]
January 1995	EU enlargement from 12 to 15 countries (Austria, Finland and Sweden)
October 1997	Signature of the Amsterdam Treaty (which came into force in May 1999), with its new legal base for the fight against social exclusion (Articles 136 and 137)
November 1997	The Luxembourg European Council (Jobs Summit) launches the European Employment Strategy (EES), following on from the introduction of a new title on employment in the Amsterdam Treaty
April 1998	First submission of annual National Action Plans on employment
December 1998	The Council adopts the first Joint Employment Report
January 1999	Completion of the Single European Market and establishment of a single European currency
December 1999	The Council endorses the Commission's Communication on "A Concerted Strategy for Modernising Social Protection" in its conclusions on "the strengthening of cooperation for modernising and improving social protection"
March 2000	The Lisbon European Council launches the Lisbon Strategy and the Social Inclusion Process
December 2000	The Nice European Council adopts the EU common objectives for the Social Inclusion Process, launches the pensions' process and adopts the <i>European Social Policy Agenda</i>
February 2001	Signature of the Nice Treaty (which came into force in February 2003)
June 2001	Member States submit their first NAPs/inclusion to the Commission
September 2001	Adoption of the first <i>Programme of Community action to encourage cooperation between Member States to combat social exclusion</i> (five-year programme which started on 1 January 2002)
December 2001	The Laeken European Council endorses a first set of 18 <i>Laeken indicators</i> for social inclusion and the first Joint Inclusion Report; it also adopts the common objectives for the pensions' process
March 2002	The Barcelona European Council invites Member States to set "appropriate national targets for significantly reducing the number of people at risk of poverty and social exclusion by 2010" in their next NAPs/inclusion
September 2002	Member States submit their first National Strategy Reports on pensions to the Commission [the second (EU-25) round of national reporting on pensions takes place in July 2005]
December 2002	The Council slightly amends the Nice common objectives for social inclusion to stress the importance of setting quantitative targets in National Action Plans on social inclusion (as agreed in Barcelona in March 2000), the need to strengthen the gender perspective in those Plans, and the risks of poverty and social exclusion faced by immigrants The Council endorses the Commission's proposal to establish three-year cycles for the policy coordination and synchronisation of the Broad Economic Policy Guidelines and the Employment Guidelines
July 2003	Member States submit their second NAPs/inclusion to the Commission
October 2003	The Council endorses the Commission's proposal to streamline: i) the various EU social policy processes at EU level (launched as a follow-up of Lisbon) as from 2006; and ii) this "streamlined social protection and inclusion process" with the "streamlined Broad Economic Policy Guidelines and Employment Guidelines"
December 2003	Acceding countries and the Commission sign individual <i>Joint Memoranda on Social Inclusion</i> (JIM), which outline the situation and policy priorities in relation to poverty and social exclusion in the acceding countries
March 2004	Adoption of the second Joint Report on Social Inclusion by the Council
May 2004	EU enlargement from 15 to 25 countries (Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia)

Table 2.1b Some Important Steps in EU Cooperation in the Social Area since the 2004 EU Enlargement to 25 Member States

May 2004	EU enlargement from 15 to 25 countries (Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia)
July 2004	The 10 new Member States submit their first NAPs/inclusion to the Commission, the examination of which being issued in a <i>Commission's staff report</i>
October 2004	Launch of the Health Care and Long-Term Care Process, with the first National Strategy Reports to be submitted by Member States to the Commission in April 2005
October 2004	Signature by 25 Heads of State and Government of the <i>Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe</i>
November 2004	The Social Protection Committee starts preparing the mid-term review of the Lisbon process (preparation of the questionnaire to be answered by Member States, etc.)
February 2005	Bulgaria and the Commission sign a <i>Joint Memorandum on Social Inclusion (JIM)</i>
February 2005	European Commission's Communication on the Social Agenda covering the period up to 2010
March 2005	In its meeting of 3 March 2005 the EU EPSCO Council of Ministers states: "On the conclusion of the discussion, the President stressed that the Council felt that (...) it was necessary to stress economic growth and job creation without, however, neglecting to provide a framework of action on social protection and inclusion. For the Council, the social agenda submitted by the Commission was an integral part of the Lisbon Strategy. It also recalled that, in its EPSCO formation, the Council had a central role to play in monitoring that strategy."
March 2005	<i>Spring Summit</i> , where EU leaders state that "it is essential to (...) re-focus priorities on growth and employment" (par 5), and at the same time reaffirm that "social inclusion policy should be pursued by the Union and by Member States, with its multifaceted approach focusing on target groups such as children in poverty." (par 36) The European Council also "welcomes the Commission communication on the social agenda, which will help to achieve the Lisbon Strategy objectives by reinforcing the European social model based on the quest for full employment and greater social cohesion." (par 29)
April 2005	EU Accession Treaty signed by Bulgaria and Romania. These Treaties are to be ratified by the present and future Member States and will then enter into force on 1 January 2007.
June 2005	Romania and the Commission sign a <i>Joint Memorandum on Social Inclusion (JIM)</i>

Table 2.2a Revised List of Laeken Indicators as Agreed to Date by the Social Protection Committee – Primary Indicators

Indicator	Definition
1. At-risk-of-poverty rate	Share of persons living in households with an income below 60% of national median income (breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups) (breakdown by gender for people aged 16+) (breakdown by: household types, work intensity of households, most frequent activity status cross-tabulated by age, most frequent activity status cross-tabulated by gender, accommodation tenure status cross-tabulated by age, accommodation tenure status cross-tabulated by gender for people aged 16+)
2. At-risk-of-poverty threshold (illustrative values)	The value of the at-risk-of-poverty threshold (60% median national income) in PPS, Euro and national currency for two illustrative household types: Single person households and Households with 2 adults and two children
3. Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	Ratio of total income received by the 20% of the country's population with the highest income (top quintile) to that received by the 20% of the country's population with the lowest income (lowest quintile)
4. Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	Share of persons with an income below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold in the current year and in at least two of the preceding three years (breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups, breakdown by gender for people aged 16+)
5. Relative median poverty risk gap	Difference between the median income of persons below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold and the threshold itself, expressed as a percentage of the at-risk-of-poverty threshold (breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups, breakdown by gender for people aged 16+)
6. Regional cohesion	Coefficient of variation of employment rates at NUTS (Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics) level 2, with employment rates calculated as the share of the population (aged 15 years and above) who are in employment according to the ILO definition (breakdown by gender)
7. Long-term unemployment rate	Total long-term unemployed population (≥ 12 months; ILO definition) as a proportion of total active population aged 15 years or more (breakdowns by age and gender)
8a. Population living in jobless households: children	Proportion of children (aged 0-17 years) living in jobless households, expressed as a share of all children
8b. Population living in jobless households: prime-age adults	Proportion of all people aged 18-59 years who live in a jobless household as a proportion of all people in the same age group (including gender breakdown). Students aged 18-24 years living in households composed solely of students are not counted in neither numerator nor denominator (breakdown by gender)
9. Early school leavers not in education or training	Share of persons aged 18 to 24 who have only lower secondary education (level 0, 1 or 2 according to the 1997 International Standard Classification of Education - ISCED 97) and have not received education or training in the four weeks preceding the survey (breakdown by gender)
10. Low reading literacy performance of pupils	Share of 15-year old pupils who are at level 1 or below of the PISA combined reading literacy scale (breakdown by gender)
11. Life expectancy	Number of years a person aged 0, 1 and 60 may be expected to live (breakdown by gender)
12. Self-defined health status by income level	Proportion of the population aged 16 years and over in the bottom and top quintile of the income distribution who classify themselves as in a bad or very bad state of health (breakdowns by age and gender)

Notes:

- "Income" must be understood as equivalised disposable income. It is defined as the household's total disposable income divided by its 'equivalent size', to take account of the size and composition of the household, and is attributed to each household member including children. The equivalent scale that is used is the modified OECD scale, which gives a weight of 1 to the first adult, 0.5 to any other household member aged 14 and over and 0.3 to any child below the age of 14.
- The numbering of the indicators is that in European Commission, 2005c.

Table 2.2b Revised List of Laeken Indicators as Agreed to Date by the Social Protection Committee – Secondary Indicators

Indicator	Definition
13. Dispersion around the at-risk-of-poverty threshold	Share of persons with an income below 40%, 50% and 70% of the national median income (breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups, breakdown by gender for people aged 16+)
14. At-risk-of-poverty rate anchored at a moment in time	In year <i>t</i> , share of persons with an income below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold in year <i>t-3</i> , up-rated by inflation over the three years (breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups, breakdown by gender for people aged 16+)
15. At-risk-of-poverty rate before social cash transfers	Relative at-risk-of-poverty rate where income is calculated as follows: - excluding all social cash transfers - including retirement and survivors pensions and excluding all other social cash transfers - including all social cash transfers (= indicator 1) NB: The same at-risk-of-poverty threshold is used for the three statistics and is set as 60% of the median national income (after social cash transfers) (breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups, breakdown by gender for people aged 16+)
16. Gini coefficient	Summary measure of the cumulative share of income accounted for by the cumulative percentages of the number of individuals; values ranging from 0% (complete equality) to 100% (complete inequality)
17. Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (50% of median income)	Share of persons with an income below 50% of the national income in the current year and in at least two of the preceding three years (breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups, breakdown by gender for people aged 16+)
18. Working poor (in-work poverty risk)	Individuals aged 16 years and above who are classified as employed (distinguishing between wage and salary employment and self-employment) according to the definition of most frequent activity status and who are at risk of poverty. This indicator needs to be analysed according to personal, job and household characteristics (breakdowns by age and gender)
19. Long-term unemployment share	Total long-term unemployed population (≥12 months; ILO definition) as a proportion of the total unemployed population aged 15 years and over (breakdowns by age and gender)
20. Very long-term unemployment rate	Total very long-term unemployed population (≥24 months; ILO definition) as a proportion of total active population aged 15 years and over (breakdowns by age and gender)
21. Persons with low educational attainment	Share of the adult population (aged 25 years and over) whose highest level of education or training is ISCED 0, 1 or 2 (breakdowns by age and gender)

Notes:

- "Income" must be understood as equivalised disposable income. It is defined as the household's total disposable income divided by its 'equivalent size', to take account of the size and composition of the household, and is attributed to each household member including children. The equivalent scale that is used in the modified OECD scale, which gives a weight of 1 to the first adult, 0.5 to any other household member aged 14 and over and 0.3 to any child below the age of 14.
- The numbering of the indicators is that in European Commission, 2005c.

Table 2.3 Shortlist of Structural Indicators

Indicator 1	GDP per capita in PPS (General Economic Background)
Indicator 2	Labour productivity per person employed (General Economic Background)
Indicator 3	Employment rate* (Employment)
Indicator 4	Employment rate of older workers (55-64)* (Employment)
Indicator 5	Gross domestic expenditure on R&D – GERD (Innovation and Research)
Indicator 6	Youth educational attainment (20-24)* (Innovation and Research)
Indicator 7	Comparative price levels (Economic Reform)
Indicator 8	Business investment (Economic Reform)
Indicator 9	At-risk-of-poverty rate after social transfers* (Social Cohesion)
Indicator 10	Regional cohesion, i.e. dispersion of regional employment rates* (Social Cohesion)
Indicator 11	Long-term unemployment rate* (Social Cohesion)
Indicator 12	Total greenhouse gas emissions (Environment)
Indicator 13	Energy intensity of the economy (Environment)
Indicator 14	Volume of freight transport relative to GDP (Environment)

Source: European Commission, 2005e.
 Note: * disaggregated by gender.

Table 2.4 Long List of Structural Indicators for Social Cohesion

Indicator 1	Inequality of income distribution (income quintile share ratio)
Indicator 2	At-risk-of-poverty rate before/after social transfers*
Indicator 3	At-persistent-risk-of-poverty rate*
Indicator 4	Regional cohesion, i.e. dispersion of regional employment rates*
Indicator 5	Early school-leavers*
Indicator 6	Long-term unemployment rate*
Indicator 7	Children aged 0-17 living in jobless households Prime-aged adults (18-59) living in jobless households*

Source: Eurostat (<http://europa.eu.int/comm/eurostat/structuralindicators>).
 Note: * disaggregated by gender.

Table 3.1 Dispersion Indicators for At-Risk-of-Poverty Rates (Share of Persons Living in Households with an Equivalised Income below 50% of State-Level (US) or National (EU) Median Income)

	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Interquartile Ratio	Interquartile Distance
US	2.5	10.9	21.6	16.5	1.2	3.3
EU-25	3.5	4.0	16.0	9.0	2.0	6.0
EU-15	3.6	6.0	15.0	7.0	2.2	7.0
EU-10	3.5	4.0	16.0	10.3	1.8	4.5

Source: US: Jesuit, Smeeding and Rainwater (2002); EU-15: Statistical Annex to European Commission (2004b); EU-10: European Commission (2005c).

Table 3.2 Ranking of EU-25 Member States on Four Dimensions

	At-Risk-of-Poverty Rate	Long-term Unemployment	Adults Living in Jobless Households	Early School Leavers
CZ	1	16	7	3
SE	2	2	22	6
HU	3	11	23	11
DK	4	4	10	5
SI	5	12	5	1
DE	6	20	17	12
NL	7	3	9	16
FI	8	10	20	7
LU	9	1	3	20
AT	10	7	12	8
BE	11	15	24	10
FR	12	13	19	15
MT	13	14	13	25
CY	14	5	1	21
LV	15	18	6	18
LT	16	23	8	9
PL	17	24	25	2
UK	18	6	21	19
EE	19	19	15	14
ES	20	17	4	23
IT	21	21	16	22
GR	22	22	14	17
PT	23	9	2	24
IE	24	8	11	13
SK	25	25	18	4

Source: European Commission, 2004b, 2005b and 2005c.

Note: Countries of equal ranking are ordered randomly. Reference year: see Figure 3.11.

Table 3.3 Correlation Coefficients between Different Indicators

EU-15			
Correlation Coefficient between			
	Long-term Unemployment	Adults Living in Jobless Households	Early School Leavers
At-Risk-of-Poverty Rate	0.406	-0.362	0.657
Long-term Unemployment	-	0.210	0.256
Adults Living in Jobless Households	-	-	-0.623
EU-25			
Correlation Coefficient between			
	Long-term Unemployment	Adults Living in Jobless Households	Early School Leavers
At-Risk-of-Poverty Rate	0.421	-0.123	0.400
Long-term Unemployment	-	0.443	-0.183
Adults Living in Jobless Households	-	-	-0.400

Source: European Commission, 2004a, 2004b and 2005c.

Table 3.4a Pattern of Social Indicators for the 25 Member States - Highlighting Less than EU Median Performing Countries

	AT	BE	DE	DK	FR	FI	LU	NL	SE		CZ	HU	SI		CY	ES	EE	GR	LT	LV	PT	PL	SK		IT	IE	MT	UK
At-Risk-of-Poverty Rate (60%)	+	+	+	++	=	+	+	+	++		++	++	++		-	--	-	--	-	-	--	-	--		--	--	=	-
Long-term Unemployment Women	++	-	-	++	-	+	++	+	++		-	+	+		+	--	-	--	-	-	+	--	--		--	++	+	++
Long-term Unemployment Men	++	-	--	++	-	+	++	++	++		-	+	-		++	+	--	+	--	-	+	--	--		-	+	-	+
Youth Unemployment (15-24)	++	-	++	++	-	-	+	++	+		-	+	+		++	-	--	--	-	+	+	--	--		--	++	-	+
Youth Unemployment Women (15-24)	++	--	+	++	-	--	++	++	+		-	+	+		++	-	-	-	-	+	+	--	--		-	++	-	+
Youth Unemployment Women (15-24)	++	-	++	++	-	-	+	++	+		+	+	+		+	-	--	--	-	-	+	--	--		--	++	-	+
Persons in Jobless Households	++	--	-	+	-	--	++	+	:		+	--	+		++	++	--	-	++	+	++	--	-		-	+	+	--
Children in Jobless Households	++	--	-	+	-	+	++	+	:		-	--	++		++	+	-	++	+	+	+	:	--		+	--	-	--
Early School-Leavers Women (at most lower sec. education, 18-24)	+	+	-	+	-	++	--	-	+		++	-	:		-	--	:	+	++	+	--	++	++		--	+	--	-
Early School-Leavers Men (at most lower sec. education, 18-24)	++	+	+	++	-	+	+	-	++		++	+	:		--	--	-	-	+	-	--	++	++		--	+	--	-
Life Expectancy Women at Birth	++	+	+	-	++	++	+	+	++		-	--	-		:	++	--	+	--	--	-	-	--		++	-	+	-
Life Expectancy Men at Birth	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	++	++		-	--	-		:	+	--	+	--	--	-	-	--		++	-	++	++
Poverty Gap	+	++	+	++	+	+	+	+	+		++	+	+		-	-	-	--	-	+	-	--	--		--	-	+	-
Deprivation on 7-Item Scale	+	++	-	+	++	+	++	++	:		-	--	+		+	-	--	-	--	--	--	--	-		+	-	++	+

Data source for calculations: EU-15: Statistical Annex to European Commission (2004b); EU-10: European Commission (2005c).

The values for jobless households and early school leavers are for 2003, rather than the provisional 2004 values used earlier in this Chapter.

Notes: Country figures are being compared with the EU-median for each indicator.

'++' best performing quartile; '+' between median and best performing quartile; '-' between median and worst performing quartile; '--' worst performing quartile; ':' unknown. In borderline cases, the classification is given as + (-) rather than ++ (--).

[Quartiles and median are used for their non-dependency on outliers.]

Table 3.4b Pattern of Social Indicators for the 25 Member States - Highlighting Possible Best Practices

	AT	BE	DE	DK	FR	FI	LU	NL	SE		CZ	HU	SI		CY	ES	EE	GR	LT	LV	PT	PL	SK		IT	IE	MT	UK	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Rate (60%)	+	+	+	++	=	+	+	+	++		++	++	++		-	--	-	--	-	-	--	-	--		--	--	=	-	
Long-term Unemployment Women	++	-	-	++	-	+	++	+	++		-	+	+		+	--	-	--	--	-	-	+	--	--		--	++	+	++
Long-term Unemployment Men	++	-	--	++	-	+	++	++	++		-	+	-		++	+	--	+	--	--	-	+	--	--		-	+	-	+
Youth Unemployment (15-24)	++	-	++	++	-	-	+	++	+		-	+	+		++	-	--	--	--	+	+	--	--		--	++	-	+	
Youth Unemployment Men (15-24)	++	--	+	++	-	--	++	++	+		-	+	+		++	-	-	-	--	+	+	--	--		-	++	-	+	
Youth Unemployment Women (15-24)	++	-	++	++	-	-	+	++	+		+	+	+		+	-	--	--	--	-	-	+	--	--		--	++	-	+
Persons in Jobless Households	++	--	-	+	-	--	++	+	:		+	--	+		++	++	--	-	++	+	++	--	-		-	+	+	--	
Children in Jobless Households	++	--	-	+	-	+	++	+	:		-	--	++		++	+	-	++	+	+	+	:	--		+	--	-	--	
Early School-Leavers Women (at most lower sec. education, 18-24)	+	+	-	+	-	++	--	-	+		++	-	:		-	--	:	+	++	+	--	++	++		--	+	--	-	
Early School-Leavers Men (at most lower sec. education, 18-24)	++	+	+	++	-	+	+	-	++		++	+	:		--	--	-	-	+	-	--	++	++		--	+	--	-	
Life Expectancy Women at Birth	++	+	+	-	++	++	+	+	++		-	--	-		:	++	--	+	--	--	-	-	--		++	-	+	-	
Life Expectancy Men at Birth	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	++	++		-	--	-		:	+	--	+	--	--	-	--	--		++	-	++	++	
Poverty Gap	+	++	+	++	+	+	+	+	+		++	+	+		-	-	-	--	-	+	-	-	--		--	-	+	-	
Deprivation on 7-Item Scale	+	++	-	+	++	+	++	++	:		-	--	+		+	-	--	-	--	--	-	--	-		+	-	++	+	

Data source for calculations: EU-15: Statistical Annex to European Commission (2004b); EU-10: European Commission (2005c).

The values for jobless households and early school leavers are for 2003, rather than the provisional 2004 values used earlier in this Chapter.

Notes: Country figures are being compared with the EU-median for each indicator.

'++' best performing quartile; '+' between median and best performing quartile; '-' between median and worst performing quartile; '--' worst performing quartile; ':' unknown. In borderline cases, the classification is given as + (-) rather than ++ (--).

[Quartiles and median are used for their non-dependency on outliers.]

Table 3.5a Breakdowns for the 25 Member States - Highlighting Less than EU Median Performing Countries (Upper Part of Table) and Subgroups with Higher Poverty Risk Than the National Average (Lower Part of Table)

	AT	BE	DE	DK	FR	FI	LU	NL	SE		CZ	HU	SI		CY	ES	EE	GR	LT	LV	PT	PL	SK		IT	IE	MT	UK	
<i>Comparison between country figures and EU Median</i>																													
At-Risk-of-Poverty Rate (60%)	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	++		++	++	++		-	--	-	--	-	-	-	-	-	-		--	--	+	-
S80/S20 Ratio	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	++		++	++	++		+	--	--	--	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Social Expenditures (% GDP)	++	+	++	++	++	+	-	++	++		-	-	+		:	-	--	+	-	-	-	-	-	-		+	--	--	+
Employment Rate (16-64)	++	-	+	++	+	+	-	++	++		+	-	-		++	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		--	+	--	++
Employment Rate Women	++	-	+	++	-	++	-	++	++		-	-	+		+	--	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-		--	-	--	++
Employment Rate Men	++	-	-	++	-	-	+	++	+		+	-	-		++	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-		-	++	+	++	
Employment Rate Older Women	-	--	+	++	+	++	-	+	++		-	-	--		+	-	++	-	+	+	++	-	-	-		--	+	--	++
Employment Rate Older Men	--	--	-	++	-	-	-	+	++		+	-	--		++	+	+	+	+	-	++	-	-	-		-	++	+	++
Average Labour Market Exit Age	-	--	+	+	--	-	-	++	++		-	-	+		+	+	+	-	-	++	++	-	-	-		-	++	:	++
Labour Productivity per Employed	+	++	+	+	++	++	++	+	+		--	-	-		-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		++	++	-	+
Reduction in Poverty Risk by Transfers	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	++		++	-	+		-	--	-	--	-	-	-	-	-	++		--	-	-	+
<i>Comparison between national subgroup figures and national total population figures¹</i>																													
At-Risk-of-Poverty Women (60%)	-	-	-	-	-	--	+	+	-		=	+	-		-	=	-	-	+	=	+	+	+		=	-	+	-	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Men (60%)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+		=	+	+	+	+	=	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	
At-Risk-of-Poverty 0-15 (60%)	-	+	--	++	-	++	--	--	+		--	--	++		=	--	=	+	-	-	--	--	--		--	-	--	--	
At-Risk-of-Poverty 16-24 (60%)	+	+	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		-	-	=		++	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-		--	++	++	-	
At-Risk-of-Poverty 25-49 (60%)	++	+	+	++	+	++	+	+	++		+	+	+		++	+	+	++	=	=	+	-	=		+	+	+	++	
At-Risk-of-Poverty 50-64 (60%)	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	++		++	++	=		+	+	-	-	+	-	+	++	++		+	+	+	++	
At-Risk-of-Poverty 65+ (60%)	--	--	-	--	--	--	++	++	--		+	+	--		--	-	+	--	++	++	--	++	++		+	--	--	--	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Single Women (60%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	=	++	--		--	--	--		--	-	--	--	--	--	--	++	-		--	--	--	--	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Single Men (60%)	+	+	--	--	-	--	--	--	--		--	--	--		--	+	--	+	--	--	--	--	--		+	--	-	-	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Single 65+ (60%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	++	++	--		--	--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	++	=		--	--	--	--	
At-Risk-of-Poverty 60% Couple 65- no Child	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++		++	++	-		++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	++		++	++	++	++	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Couple 1 Child (60%)	++	++	+	++	++	++	-	+	++		+	+	-		++	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	-		++	+	+	++	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Couple 2 Children (60%)	++	+	++	++	+	++	-	+	++		=	++	++		++	-	+	++	+	-	+	+	-		-	+	-	++	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Couple 3 Children (60%)	--	++	--	--	--	++	--	--	+		--	--	++		=	--	-	--	--	--	--	--	--		--	--	--	--	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Single Parents (60%)	--	--	--	--	--	=	--	--	--		--	--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		-	--	--	--	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Employees (60%)	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	:	++		++	++	++		++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++		++	++	:	++	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Self-Employed (60%)	--	+	++	--	--	--	++	:	--		+	++	++		++	-	++	-	--	--	--	-	-		+	+	:	+	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Unemployed (60%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		--	--	--		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		--	--	--	--	
At-Risk-of-Poverty Pensioners (60%)	--	--	-	--	-	--	++	++	--		++	+	--		--	+	-	--	+	+	-	++	++		++	--	-	--	

Data source for calculations: EU-15: Statistical Annex to European Commission (2004b); EU-10: European Commission (2005c).

Notes: In the upper part of the table, country figures are being compared with the EU-median for each indicator. In the lower part of the table, different subgroup at-risk-of-poverty rates are being compared with the average national at-risk-of-poverty rate. '++' best performing quartile; '+' between median and best performing quartile; '-' between median and worst performing quartile; '--' worst performing quartile; ':' unknown. In borderline cases, the classification is given as + (-) rather than ++ (-). [Quartiles and median are used for their non-dependency on outliers.]

¹ '+' lower risk than national average; '-' higher risk than national average; '++' and '--' more than 25% respectively lower and higher risk than national average.

Table 3.5b Breakdowns for the 25 EU Member States - Highlighting Possible Best Practices, i.e. Above EU Median Performing Countries (Upper Part of Table) and Subgroups with Lower Poverty Risk than the National Average (Lower Part of Table)

	AT	BE	DE	DK	FR	FI	LU	NL	SE	CZ	HU	SI	CY	ES	EE	GR	LT	LV	PT	PL	SK	IT	IE	MT	UK
<i>Comparison between country figures and EU Median</i>																									
At-Risk-of-Poverty Rate (60%)	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	-	--	-	--	-	-	--	-	--	--	--	+	-
S80/S20 Ratio	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	+	--	--	--	-	--	-	-	--	-	-	-	-
Social Expenditures (% GDP)	++	+	++	++	++	+	-	++	++	-	-	+	:	-	--	+	--	-	-	-	--	+	--	--	+
Employment Rate (16-64)	++	-	+	++	+	+	-	++	++	+	--	-	++	-	+	--	-	-	-	--	--	--	+	--	++
Employment Rate Women	++	-	+	++	-	++	-	++	++	-	--	+	+	--	+	--	+	+	+	--	-	--	-	--	++
Employment Rate Men	++	-	-	++	-	-	+	++	+	+	--	-	++	+	--	+	--	--	+	--	--	-	++	+	++
Employment Rate Older Women	-	--	+	++	+	++	-	+	++	-	-	--	+	-	++	-	+	+	++	--	--	--	+	--	++
Employment Rate Older Men	--	--	-	++	-	-	-	+	++	+	--	-	++	+	+	+	+	-	++	--	-	-	++	+	++
Average Labour Market Exit Age	-	--	+	+	--	-	-	++	++	-	--	+	+	+	+	-	--	++	++	--	--	-	++	:	++
Labour Productivity per Employed	+	++	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	--	-	-	-	+	--	-	--	--	-	--	--	++	++	-	+
Reduction in Poverty Risk by Transfers	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	-	+	--	--	-	--	-	-	--	++	-	--	-	-	+
<i>Comparison between national subgroup figures and national total population figures¹</i>																									
At-Risk-of-Poverty Women (60%)	-	-	-	-	-	--	+	+	-	=	+	-	-	=	-	-	+	=	+	+	+	=	-	+	-
At-Risk-of-Poverty Men (60%)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	=	+	+	+	+	=	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
At-Risk-of-Poverty 0-15 (60%)	-	+	--	++	-	++	--	--	+	--	--	++	=	--	=	+	-	-	--	--	--	--	-	--	--
At-Risk-of-Poverty 16-24 (60%)	+	+	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-	-	=	++	-	-	+	-	-	+	--	-	--	++	++	-
At-Risk-of-Poverty 25-49 (60%)	++	+	+	++	+	++	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	=	=	+	-	=	+	+	+	++
At-Risk-of-Poverty 50-64 (60%)	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	=	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	++	++	+	+	+	++
At-Risk-of-Poverty 65+ (60%)	--	--	-	--	--	--	++	++	--	+	+	--	--	-	+	--	++	++	--	++	++	+	--	--	--
At-Risk-of-Poverty Single Women (60%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	=	+	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-	--	++	++	--	--	--	--
At-Risk-of-Poverty Single Men (60%)	+	+	--	--	-	--	++	--	--	--	--	--	--	+	--	+	--	-	--	--	--	+	--	-	-
At-Risk-of-Poverty Single 65+ (60%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	++	++	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-	--	++	=	--	--	--	--
At-Risk-of-Poverty 60% Couple 65- no Child	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	-	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
At-Risk-of-Poverty Couple 1 Child (60%)	++	++	+	++	++	++	-	+	++	+	+	-	++	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	-	++	+	+	++
At-Risk-of-Poverty Couple 2 Children (60%)	++	+	++	++	+	++	-	+	++	=	++	++	++	-	+	++	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	++
At-Risk-of-Poverty Couple 3 Children (60%)	--	++	--	--	--	++	--	--	+	--	--	++	=	--	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
At-Risk-of-Poverty Single Parents (60%)	--	--	--	-	--	=	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-	--	--	--
At-Risk-of-Poverty Employees (60%)	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	:	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	:	++
At-Risk-of-Poverty Self-Employed (60%)	--	+	++	--	--	--	++	:	--	+	++	++	++	-	++	-	--	--	-	-	-	+	+	:	+
At-Risk-of-Poverty Unemployed (60%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
At-Risk-of-Poverty Pensioners (60%)	--	--	-	--	-	--	++	++	--	++	+	--	--	+	-	--	+	+	-	++	++	++	--	-	--

Data source for calculations: EU-15: Statistical Annex to European Commission (2004b); EU-10: European Commission (2005c).

Notes: In the upper part of the table, country figures are being compared with the EU-median for each indicator. In the lower part of the table, different subgroup at-risk-of-poverty rates are being compared with the average national at-risk-of-poverty rate.

'++' best performing quartile; '+' between median and best performing quartile; '-' between median and worst performing quartile; '--' worst performing quartile; ':' unknown. In borderline cases, the classification is given as + (-) rather than ++ (--). [Quartiles and median are used for their non-dependency on outliers.]

¹ '+' lower risk than national average; '-' higher risk than national average; '++' and '--' more than 25% respectively lower and higher risk than national average.

Table 4.1 Illustrative Matrix of Policy Interventions and Vulnerable Groups

	Family Benefits	Labour Market Activation	Personal Social Services	...	
Children					
Long-term Unemployed					
Elderly					
Disabled					
...					

Table 5.1 Main Potential Deprivation Items in EU-SILC, by Dimensions

Dimension 1 – Economic strain
<i>Inability to afford</i>
- keeping the home adequately warm
- paying for a week's annual holiday away from home
- a meal with meat, chicken or fish every second day
- facing unexpected essential financial expenses
<i>Inability to meet payment schedules</i>
- arrears on mortgage payment, or rent, or utility bills or hire purchase instalments
Dimension 2 – Durables
<i>Enforced lack of</i>
- a car
- a colour TV
- a washing machine
- a telephone (including mobile phone)
Dimension 3 – Housing
<i>Absence of basic housing facilities</i>
- bath or shower
- indoor flushing toilet
<i>Problems with accommodation</i>
- too dark / not enough light
- leaky roof, damp walls, floors, foundation, rot in window frames or floors
Dimension 4 – Neighbourhood/Environment
- pollution, grime or other environmental problems caused by traffic or industry
- noise from neighbours or from the street
- crime, vandalism or violence in the area

Source: Table based on Eurostat work presented to the Indicators Sub-Group (Indicators Sub-Group, 2005a).

Table 5.2a Suggested Primary/Headline EU Indicators for Social Inclusion with Respective Breakdowns, by Dimensions

Dimension	Indicator	Breakdowns/Notes
Income Poverty	1a. At-risk-of-poverty rate (headline breakdowns)	Breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups Breakdown by gender for people aged 16+
	1b. At-risk-of-poverty threshold (illustrative values)	For 2 household types: Single person households and Households with 2 adults and two children
Income Inequality	2. Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	No breakdowns
Unemployment/ Employment	3a. Population living in jobless households: children (aged 0-17)	No breakdowns
	3b. Population living in jobless households: prime-age adults	Breakdown by gender
	4. Long-term unemployment rate	Breakdowns by age and gender
Education	5. Early school leavers not in education or training	Breakdown by gender
Health	6. until 6. new becomes available: Life expectancy at 0, 1 and 60	Breakdown by gender <i>(Once 6. new adopted, indicator to be moved to Secondary list)</i>
	6. new: Premature mortality or life expectancy	<i>(to be developed)</i> <i>Breakdowns by gender and socio-economic status</i>
Housing Quality/ Adequacy	7. new: Aggregate index of 4 housing problems with same weight given to each item across countries and over time ("absolute" indicator)	<i>(to be developed - Housing problems to be aggregated: Absence of bath/shower, indoor flushing toilet, problems such as too dark/ not enough light, and leaky roof/ damp walls/ floors/ foundation/ rot in window frames or floors)</i> <i>Breakdown by age with inter alia children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups</i> <i>Breakdown by gender</i> <i>Breakdown by at risk of poverty/not at risk of poverty</i>
Homelessness	8. new: Homelessness	<i>(to be developed)</i> <i>Breakdown by age with inter alia children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups</i> <i>Breakdown by gender</i>
Deprivation	9. new: Aggregate index of 9 deprivation items in relation to broad living standards with same weight given to each item across the Member States and over time ("absolute" indicator)	<i>(to be developed - Deprivation items to be aggregated: Inability to afford keeping the home adequately warm, paying for a week's annual holiday away from home, a meal with meat, chicken or fish every second day, facing unexpected essential financial expenses; Inability to meet payment schedules; Enforced lack of a car, a colour TV, a washing machine, a telephone including mobile phone)</i> <i>Breakdown by age with inter alia children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups</i> <i>Breakdown by gender for people aged 16+</i> <i>Breakdown by at risk of poverty/not at risk of poverty</i>
Child Well-Being	10. new: Children-focused non-income based indicator	<i>(to be developed)</i>

Note: Except for the new indicators suggested for development by this report, all definitions/breakdowns are Laeken definitions/breakdowns as presented in Tables 2.2a and 2.2b. The sole exception relates to secondary indicators 11 (at-risk-of-poverty, secondary indicator) and 19 (working poor) where we suggest new breakdowns which are shown in italics.

Table 5.2b Suggested Secondary EU Indicators for Social Inclusion with Respective Breakdowns, by Dimensions

Dimension	Indicator	Breakdowns/Notes
Income Poverty	11. At-risk-of-poverty rate (Secondary breakdowns)	Breakdown by: household types, work intensity of households, most frequent activity status cross-tabulated by age, most frequent activity status cross-tabulated by gender, accommodation tenure status cross-tabulated by age, accommodation tenure status cross-tabulated by gender for people aged 16+ <i>Plus two new breakdowns focused on people aged 18-59: poverty risk for persons unemployed during entire reference year and for persons inactive entire reference year</i>
	12. Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (60 % median threshold)	Breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups Breakdown by gender for people aged 16+
	13. Relative median poverty risk gap	Breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups Breakdown by gender for people aged 16+
	14. Dispersion around the at-risk-of-poverty threshold	Breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups Breakdown by gender for people aged 16+
	15. At-risk-of-poverty rate anchored at a moment in time	Breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups Breakdown by gender for people aged 16+
	16. At-risk-of-poverty rate before social cash transfers	Breakdown by age with <i>inter alia</i> children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups Breakdown by gender for people aged 16+
Income Inequality	17. Gini coefficient	No breakdowns
Unemployment/ Employment	18. Regional cohesion	Breakdown by gender
	19. Working poor (in-work poverty risk)	Breakdown by personal, job and household characteristics (see European Commission, 2004b) Breakdowns by age and gender <i>Plus one new breakdown: employees aged 18-59 (not the self-employed) in full-time work for the entire reference year</i>
Education	20. Low reading literacy performance of pupils	Breakdown by gender
	21. Persons with low educational attainment	Breakdowns by age and gender
Health	22. Self-defined health status by income level	Breakdowns by age and gender
Deprivation	23. <i>new: Aggregate index of 9 deprivation items in relation to broad living standards (same items as for indicator 8), not with same weight given to each item across Member States and over time ("relative" indicator)</i>	<i>(to be developed)</i> <i>Breakdown by age with inter alia children aged 0-15 and elderly aged 65+ as specific groups</i> <i>Breakdown by gender for people aged 16+</i> <i>Breakdown by at risk of poverty/not at risk of poverty</i>

Note: Except for the new indicators suggested for development by this report, all definitions/breakdowns are Laeken definitions/breakdowns as presented in Tables 2.2a and 2.2b. The sole exception relates to secondary indicators 11 (at-risk-of-poverty, secondary indicator) and 19 (working poor) where we suggest new breakdowns which are shown in italics.

Table 6.1 Use of Quantified Targets in the NAPs/inclusion in the EU-15

Country	Direct Outcome Targets		Intermediate Outcome Targets	Input Targets
	Laeken	Non Laeken		
Belgium				
Denmark			*	
Germany			*	
Greece	*		*	
Spain	*			
France		*	*	*
Ireland	*	*	*	*
Italy			*	
Luxembourg			*	*
Netherlands		*	*	*
Austria		*	*	*
Portugal	*	*	*	*
Sweden			*	
Finland			*	*
United Kingdom	*	*	*	*

Source: European Commission, 2004b, page 40.

Table 6.2 Use of Quantified Targets in the NAPs/inclusion in the 10 New Member States

Country	Direct Outcome Targets				Direct Outcome Targets	Input Targets
	Income/ Deprivation	Long-term Unemployment/ Employment of Vulnerable Groups	Education	Health		
Cyprus						
Czech Republic					*	
Estonia	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hungary		*	*	*	*	*
Latvia					*	*
Lithuania	*	*			*	*
Malta		*	*		*	
Poland	*	*	*	*	*	*
Slovak Republic					*	*
Slovenia		*	*		*	*

Source: European Commission, 2005c, page 37.

ANNEX 3

Appendix to Chapter 2

Six Key EU Texts on Social Protection and Social Inclusion

- A. The Council Resolution on “Combating social exclusion” (Council, 1989a) adopted in September 1989 emphasised that “combating social exclusion may be regarded as an important part of the social dimension of the internal market” and pointed to “the effectiveness of *coordinated, coherent development policies* based on active participation by local and national bodies and by the people involved”. It undertook “to continue and, as necessary, to step up the efforts undertaken *in common* as well as those made by each Member State, and to pool their knowledge and assessments of the phenomena of exclusion” and consequently called on the Commission “to study, together with the Member States, the measures they are taking to combat social exclusion” and “to report on the measures taken by the Member States and by the Community in the spheres covered by this Resolution”.
- B. Council Recommendation 92/441/EEC of June 1992 (Council, 1992b) on “Common criteria concerning sufficient resources and social assistance in social protection systems” urged EU Member States to recognise the “basic right of a person to sufficient resources and social assistance to live in a manner compatible with human dignity as a part of a comprehensive and consistent drive to combat social exclusion”. Practical guidelines suggested to organise the implementation of this right included: “fixing the amount of resources considered sufficient to cover essential needs with regard to respect for human dignity, taking account of living standards and price levels in the Member State concerned, for different types and sizes of household”, “adjusting or supplementing amounts to meet specific needs” and “in order to fix the amounts, referring to appropriate *indicators*, such as, for example, *statistical data* on the average disposable income in the Member State, statistical data on household consumption, the legal minimum wage if this exists or the level of prices”.
- C. The third text was adopted one month later, in July 1992: Council Recommendation 92/442/EEC on the “Convergence of social protection objectives and policies” (Council, 1992a). Because “comparable trends in most of the Member States may lead to common problems (in particular the ageing of the population, changing family situations, a persistently high level of unemployment and the spread of poverty and forms of poverty)”, the Council recommended that this “de facto convergence” should be further promoted by establishing what was termed a “*convergence strategy*” and which consists basically of the identification of “*common objectives*”. The Recommendation suggested that these “*fundamental objectives of the Community*” should act as guiding principles in the development of national social protection systems, while stressing that Member States remain free to determine how their systems should be financed and organised. It explicitly identified *social protection and inclusion as an integral part of the European Social Model and of the Community political “acquis”*. As a follow-up of the Recommendation, the Commission published several “Social Protection in Europe” Reports (starting with its 1993 Report; European Commission, 1993a) analysing developments in Member States’ systems with reference to the principles identified in the Recommendation.

- D. In March 1997, the Commission published a Communication on “Modernising and Improving Social Protection in the European Union (European Commission, 1997), which *inter alia* emphasised the emerging consensus that social protection systems, far from being an economic burden, can act as a *productive factor* that can contribute to economic and political stability and that can help EU economies to perform better. When pointing to the necessity of modernising and improving social protection, and to the need to see social protection as a productive factor, the Commission argued that national systems should be adapted to new realities by making better use of the resources available, not by lowering the level of social protection.
- E. The Treaty of Amsterdam, which was signed in October 1997 and came into force in May 1999, provided a *new legal base for the fight against social exclusion* (Title XI “Social policy, education, vocational training and youth”, Chapter 1 “Social provisions”, Articles 136 and 137).
- F. In July 1999 the Commission issued a Communication on “A Concerted Strategy for Modernising Social Protection” (European Commission, 1999). In its conclusions of 17 December 1999 on “the strengthening of cooperation for modernising and improving social protection” (Council, 1999), the Council endorsed the *four broad objectives identified by the Commission*: to make work pay and to provide secure income, to make pensions safe and pensions systems sustainable, to promote social inclusion and to ensure high quality and sustainable health care. The Council welcomed “the Commission's analysis of each of them as a basis for further work by a new high-level group”; a group which was indeed subsequently set up and then became the today's EU *Social Protection Committee*.

ANNEX 4

Members of the Steering Committee

Members of the Steering Committee

Jutta Allmendinger

Director, Institute for Employment Research (IAB)
Munich, Germany

Wilfried Beirnaert

Union of Industrial and Employers Confederations of Europe (UNICE)
Brussels, Belgium

Jos Berghman

Professor, Catholic University of Leuven (KUL)
Leuven, Belgium

Daniela Bobeva

Bulgarian National Bank
Director, Department of International Relations and European Integration
Sofia, Bulgaria

Andrea Brandolini

Bank of Italy
Economic Research Department
Rome, Italy

Mireille Elbaum

Director, Directorate for Research, Studies, Evaluation and Statistics (DREES)
Paris, France

Robert Erikson

Professor, SOFI, Stockholm University
Stockholm, Sweden

Fintan Farrell

Director, European Anti-Poverty Network (EAPN)
Brussels, Belgium

Gilda Farrell

Council of Europe
Head, "Social Cohesion Development Division"
Strasbourg, France

Zsuzsa Ferge

Professor, Eotvos University
Budapest, Hungary

Paolo Garonna

Professor of Political Economy at the University LUISS G. Carli of Rome and Chief Economist of Confindustria (Confederation of Italian Industrial Employers)
Rome, Italy

Michel Glaude

European Commission
Director for “Single Market, Employment and Social Statistics”, Eurostat
Luxembourg

John Hills

Professor, Centre for Analysis of Social Exclusion (CASE), LSE
London, UK

Dagmar Kutsar

Professor, Tartu University
Tartu, Estonia

Erika Kvapilová

National Programme Officer
United Nations Development Fund for Women (UNIFEM), Regional Office for CEE
Bratislava, Slovak Republic

Edmundo Martinho

President, Institute of Social Security
Lisbon, Portugal

Tom Mulherin

Chair of the EU Social Protection Committee
Brussels (secretary: European Commission), Belgium

Józef Niemiec

Confederal Secretary, European Trade Union Confederation (ETUC)
Brussels, Belgium

Heinz-Herbert Noll

Director of the “Social Indicators Department”, ZUMA
Mannheim, Germany

Mark Pearson

OECD
Head, “Social Policy” Division
Paris, France

Martin Potůček

Professor, Head of the “Centre for social and economic strategies”, Charles University
Prague, Czech Republic

Odile Quintin

European Commission

Director General, Directorate-General “Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities”
Brussels, Belgium

Chiara Saraceno

Professor, University of Turin

Turin, Italy

Tomáš Sirovátka

Professor, School of Social Studies, Masaryk University

Brno, Czech Republic

David Stanton

Chairman of the Indicators Sub-Group of the EU Social Protection Committee

Brussels (secretary: European Commission), Belgium

Irena Topińska

Associate Professor, University of Warsaw

Warsaw, Poland

István Tóth

Director, TÁRKI

Budapest, Hungary

Frank Vandembroucke

Vice-Minister-President of the Flemish Government and Professor at the Catholic University
of Leuven (KUL)

Leuven, Belgium

Edmunds Vaskis

Deputy Director, “Department of Social Statistics”

Central Statistical Bureau of Latvia

Riga, Latvia

Jiří Večerník

Professor, Institute for Sociology

Prague, Czech Republic

ANNEX 5
References

References

- Aber, J. L., Gershoff, E. T., Brooks-Gunn, J. (2002), *Social Exclusion of Children in the United States: Identifying Potential Indicators*, in Kahn, A.J. and Kamerman, S.B. (eds.), *Beyond Child Poverty: The Social Exclusion of Children*, The Institute for Child and Family Policy at Columbia University, New York.
- Anand, S. and Sen, A. K. (1997), *Concepts of Human Development and Poverty: A Multidimensional Perspective*, Human Development Papers, United Nations Development Programme, New York.
- Atkinson, A. B. (2003), *Multidimensional Deprivation: Contrasting Social Welfare and Counting Approaches*, Journal of Economic Inequality, Vol. 1, pp. 51-65.
- Atkinson, A. B. (2002), *Evaluation of National Action Plans on Social Inclusion: The role of EUROMOD*, EUROMOD Working Paper No. EM1/02, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.
- Atkinson, A. B. (2000), *A European Social Agenda: Poverty Benchmarking and Social Transfers*, EUROMOD Working Paper, No. EM3/00, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.
- Atkinson, A. B. and Meulders, D. (2004), *EU Action on Social Inclusion and Gender Mainstreaming*, EUROMOD Working Paper No. EM8/04, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.
- Atkinson, A. B. and Micklewright, J. (1992), *Economic transformation in Eastern Europe and the distribution of income*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Atkinson, A. B. and Sutherland, H. (1988), *Tax-benefit models*, Sticerd, London.
- Atkinson, A. B., Cantillon, B., Marlier, E. and Nolan, B. (2002), *Social Indicators: The EU and Social Inclusion*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Atkinson, A. B., Marlier, E. and Nolan, B. (2004), *Indicators and Targets for Social Inclusion in the EU*, Journal of Common Market Studies, Vol. 42, No. 1, pp. 47-75.
- Barca, F., Brezzi, M., Terribile, F. and Utili, F. (2004), *Soft and Hard Use of Indicators in Regional Development Policies*, Materiali Uval, Analisi e studi, No. 2, Ministero dell'Economia e delle Finanze, Rome.
- Bardone, L. and Guio, A.-C. (2005), *In-work poverty: New commonly agreed indicators at the EU level*, Statistics in focus, Theme 3, 5/2005, Eurostat, Luxembourg.
- Beblo, M. and Knaus, T. (2001), *Measuring Income Inequality in Euroland*, Review of Income and Wealth, 47 (3), pp. 301-320.
- Beck, W., van der Maesen, L. and Walker, A. (eds.) (1997), *The Social Quality of Europe*, Kluwer, The Hague.
- Becker, I. and Hauser, R. (2001), *Einkommensverteilung im Querschnitt und im Zeitverlauf 1973 bis 1998*, Bundesministerium für Arbeit und Sozialordnung, Bonn.

Begg, I., Ferrera, M., Hodson, D., Madsen, P.K., Matsaganis, M., Sacchi, S. and Schelkle, W. (2004), *The Costs of Non-Social Policy*, Report presenting the findings of a research project funded by Directorate-General "Employment and Social Affairs" of the European Commission.

Ben-Arieh, A., Kaufman, N. H., Andrews, A. B., George, R., Lee, B. J., and Aber, J. L. (2000), *Measuring and Monitoring Children's Well Being*, European Centre for Social Welfare Policy and Research, Vienna.

Berger, F. (2004), *L'impact du loyer fictif sur la distribution des revenus*, CEPS/INSTEAD, Population & Emploi No. 2, Luxembourg.

Berger-Schmitt, R. (2000), *Social Cohesion as an Aspect of the Quality of Societies: Concept and Measurement*, EuReporting Working Paper No. 14, ZUMA, Mannheim.

Berger-Schmitt, R. and Noll, H.-H. (2000), *Conceptual Framework and Structure of a European System of Social Indicators*, EuReporting Working Paper No. 9, ZUMA, Mannheim.

Blair, T. (1999), *Beveridge revisited: a welfare state for the 21st century*, in: Walker, R., *Ending child poverty*, Policy Press, Bristol.

Blundell, R. (2001), *Welfare reform for low income workers*, Oxford Economic Papers, Vol. 53, pp. 189-214.

Blundell, R. and MaCurdy, T. (1999), *Labor Supply: A Review of Alternative Approaches*, in: Ashenfelter, O. C. and Card, D., *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. 3A, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Booth, C. (2002), *Gender Mainstreaming in the European Union: Towards a New Conception and Practice of Equal Opportunities?*, The European Journal of Women's Studies, Vol. 9. pp. 430-446.

Bourguignon, F. (2003), *The Growth Elasticity of Poverty Reduction: Explaining Heterogeneity across Countries and Time Periods*, World Bank.

Bradbury, B. and Jäntti, M. (2001), *Child poverty across the industrialised world: evidence from the Luxembourg Income Study*, in: Vleming, K. and Smeeding, T., *Child Well-being, Child Poverty and Child Policy in Modern Nations*, Policy Press, Bristol, pp. 11-32.

Bradshaw, J. and Finch, N. (2002), *A comparison of Child Benefit packages in 22 countries*, UK Department for Work and Pensions, Research Report No. 174.

Bradshaw, J. and Mayhew, E. (2005), *A Comparison of the Tax Benefit Package for Families with Children in Eight European Countries at January 2004*, University of York.

Bradshaw, J., Ditch, J., Holmes, H. and Whiteford, P. (1993), *Support for children - A comparison of arrangements in fifteen countries*, UK Department of Social Security, Research Report 21, London.

Breen, R. (2004), *Social mobility in Europe*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Brewer, M., Goodman, A., Shaw, J. and Shephard, A. (2005), *Poverty and Inequality in Britain: 2005, Commentary 99*, The Institute of Fiscal Studies, London.

Brousse, C. (2004), *The production of data on homelessness and housing deprivation in the European Union: surveys and proposals*, Report written on behalf of Eurostat, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

Bundesregierung (2005), *Lebenslagen in Deutschland - Der 2. Armuts- und Reichtumsbericht der Bundesregierung*, <http://www.bmgs.bund.de/download/broschueren/A332.pdf>

Burgess, S., Gardiner, K. and Propper, C. (2001), *Why Rising Tides Don't Lift All Boats?*, CASEpaper 46, London School of Economics.

Callan, T. (2005), *Assessing the Impact of Tax/Transfer Policy Changes on Poverty: Methodological Issues and Some European Evidence*, ESRI, Dublin.

Callan, T. and Nolan, B. (1997), *Income Distribution and Socio-Economic Differences in International Perspective*, ESRI, Dublin.

Callan, T., Keeney, M., Nolan, B. and Maitre, B. (2004), *Why is Relative Poverty so High in Ireland?*, ESRI Policy Research Series, Dublin.

Cantillon, B., Marx, I. and Van den Bosch, K. (1997), *The challenge of poverty and social exclusion*, in: *Towards 2000: the new social policy agenda*, OECD, Paris.

Cantillon, B., Van Mechelen, N. and Van den Bosch, K. (2004), *Best Practices, or, How to Link Policy Inputs and Well-Being Outcomes: the Role of Policy Input Indicator*, paper presented at the ChangeQual seminar, Paris, 17-18 May 2004.

Cherchye, L., Moesen, W. and Van Puyenbroeck, T. (2003), *Legitimately Diverse, Yet Comparable: On Synthesising Social Inclusion Performance in the EU*, Centre for Economic Studies Discussion Paper 03.01, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven.

Conseil de l'emploi, des revenus et de la cohésion sociale (2004), *Child Poverty in France*, Report 4, Paris.

Corak, M. (2005), *Principles and practicalities for measuring child poverty in the rich countries*, UNICEF Innocenti Working Paper No. 2005-01.

Corak, M., Lietz, C. and Sutherland, H. (2005). *The Impact of Tax and Transfer Systems on Children in the European Union*, UNICEF Innocenti Working Paper No. 2005-04.

Cornia, G.A. and Danziger, S. (1997), *Child Poverty and Deprivation in the Industrialized Countries*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.

Council (2005), *Key messages for the Spring European Council from the EPSCO Council*, Brussels.

Council (2003), *Council Conclusions on Structural Indicators (Annex)*, 15875/03, 8 December 2003, Brussels.

Council (2002), *Fight against poverty and social exclusion: common objectives for the second round of National Action Plans*, 14164/1/02 REV 1, 3 December 2002, Brussels.

Council (1999), *Council Conclusions of 17 December 1999 on the strengthening of cooperation for modernising and improving social protection*, OJEC 12.01.2000, C8/7, Brussels.

Council (1992a), *Council Recommendation of 27 July 1992 on the convergence of objectives and policies in social protection*, (92/442/EEC), OJEC, L 245, Brussels.

Council (1992b), *Council recommendation of 24 June 1992 on common criteria concerning sufficient resources and social assistance in social protection systems*, 92/441/EEC, OJEC, L 245, Brussels.

Council (1989a), *Resolution of the Council and of the ministers for social affairs meeting within the Council of 29 September 1989 on combating social exclusion*, OJEC, C 277, Brussels.

Council (1989b), *Council Decision of 18 July 1989 establishing a medium-term Community action programme concerning the economic and social integration of the economically and socially less privileged groups in society*, 89/457/EEC, OJEC, L 224, Brussels.

Council (1985), *Council Decision of 19 December 1984 on specific Community action to combat poverty*, 85/8/EEC, OJEC, L 2, Brussels.

Council (1980), *Council Decision of 22 December 1980 concerning a supplementary programme to combat poverty*, 80/1270/EEC, OJEC, L 375, Brussels.

Council (1975), *Council Decision of 22 July 1975 concerning a programme of pilot schemes and studies to combat poverty*, 75/458/EEC, OJEC, L 199, Brussels.

Council (1974), *Resolution concerning a social action programme*, OJEC, C 13, Brussels.

de Beer, P. (2001), *Over werken in de postindustriële samenleving*, SCP, Den Haag.

de la Porte, C., Pochet, P. and Room, G. (2001), *Social benchmarking, policy making and new governance in the EU*, Journal of European Social Policy, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 291-307.

de Vos, K. and Zaidi, M. A. (1998), *Poverty Measurement in the European Union: Country-Specific or Union-Wide Poverty Lines?*, Journal of Income Distribution, Vol. 8, No.1, pp. 77-92.

Deaton, A. (2002), *Data for monitoring the poverty MDG*, Research Program in Development Studies, Princeton University.

Delors, J. (1971), *Les indicateurs sociaux*, Futuribles, Paris.

Dennett, J., James, E., Room, G. and Watson, P. (1982), *Europe Against Poverty: The European Poverty Programme 1975-80*, Bedford Square Press, London.

Dennis, I. and Guio, A.-C. (2004), *Monetary poverty in new Member States and Candidate Countries*, Statistics in focus, Theme 3, 12/2004, Eurostat, Luxembourg.

Driant, J.-C. and Jacquot, A. (2005), *Loyers imputés et inégalités de niveau de vie*, Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques, Documents de Travail F0407, Paris.

Employment Committee and Social Protection Committee (2005), *Joint Opinion of the Employment Committee and the Social Protection Committee on the Integrated Guidelines for Growth and Jobs (2005-2008)*, Brussels,
http://eapn.horus.be/module/module_page/images/pdf/pdf_publication/Non-EAPN%20Publications/intguide-emco_final.doc

Erikson, R. (2002), *Social Indicators for the European Union: Comments*, *Politica Economica*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 69-73.

Erikson, R. (1974), *Welfare as a Planning Goal*, *Acta Sociologica*, Vol. 17, pp. 273-288.

Erikson, R. and Goldthorpe, J. H. (1992), *The Constant Flux*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.

Erikson, R. and Uusitalo, H. (1987), *The Scandinavian Approach to Welfare Research*, in Erikson, R., Hansen, E. J., Ringen, S. and Uusiatalo, H. (eds.), *The Scandinavian Model: Welfare States and Welfare Research*, M E Sharpe, Armonck.

Esping-Andersen, G. (1990), *The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*, Polity Press, Cambridge.

European Anti-Poverty Network (2003), *Where is the Political Energy?*, EAPN's response to the second round of Plans, Brussels.

European Commission (2005a), *Working together for growth and jobs. A new start for the Lisbon Strategy*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2005)24, Brussels.

European Commission (2005b), *Joint Report on Social Protection and Social Inclusion*, document adopted by the Council on 3 March 2005, Brussels (and Technical Annex to this Report: Commission Staff Working Paper No. SEC(2005)69).

European Commission (2005c), *Report on Social Inclusion 2004. An Analysis of the National Action Plans on Social Inclusion (2004-2006) submitted by the 10 new Member States*, Commission Staff Working Paper, SEC(2004)256, Brussels.

European Commission (2005d), *Social Agenda*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2005)33 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2005e), *Commission Staff Working Document in support of the report from the Commission to the Spring European Council (22-23 March 2005) on the Lisbon Strategy of economic, social and environmental renewal* [see above: European Commission, 2005a], SEC(2005)160, Brussels. [Together with the *Update of the Statistical Annex (annex 1) to the 2005 Report from the Commission to the Spring European Council - Structural Indicators*; http://europa.eu.int/growthandjobs/pdf/statistical_annex_2005_en.pdf]

European Commission (2005f), *Sustainable Development Indicators to monitor the implementation of the EU Sustainable Development Strategy*, SEC(2005)161 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2005g), *The 2005 Review of the EU Sustainable Development Strategy: Initial Stocktaking and Future Orientations*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2005)37 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2005h), *Integrated Guidelines for Growth and Jobs (2005-2008)*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2005)141final, Brussels.

European Commission (2005i), *Working together for growth and jobs Next steps in implementing the revised Lisbon strategy*, Commission Staff Working Paper, SEC(2005)622, Brussels.

European Commission (2005j), *Green Paper "Confronting demographic change: a new solidarity between the generations"*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2005)94 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2004a), *Modernising social protection for the development of high-quality, accessible and sustainable health care and long-term care: Support for the national strategies using the open method of coordination*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2004)304 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2004b), *Joint Report on social inclusion 2004*, incl. Statistical Annex, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

European Commission (2004c), *Delivering Lisbon: Reforms for the enlarged Union*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2004)29 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2004d), *Commission staff working paper: Social inclusion in the new Member States – A synthesis of the Joint Memoranda on social inclusion*, SEC(2004)848, Brussels.

European Commission (2004e), *Report of the High-Level Group on the future of social policy in an enlarged European Union*, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

European Commission (2004f), *Perceptions of living conditions in an enlarged Europe*, Luxembourg.

European Commission (2004g), *The economic costs of non-Lisbon: A survey of the literature on the economic impact of Lisbon-type reforms*, Directorate-General for Economic and Financial Affairs, Occasional Papers No. 16, European Economy, Brussels.

European Commission (2003a), *Modernising social protection for more and better jobs: A comprehensive approach contributing to making work pay*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2003)842 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2003b), *Structural Indicators*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2003)585 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2003c), *Strengthening the social dimension of the Lisbon strategy: Streamlining open coordination in the field of social protection*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2003)261 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2002a), *Streamlining the annual economic and employment policy coordination cycles*, Communication from the Commission, COM(2002)487 final, Brussels.

European Commission (2002b), *Joint Report on Social Inclusion 2001*, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

European Commission (2002c), *The Lisbon Strategy – Making Change Happen*, Communication from the Commission to the Spring European Council in Barcelona, COM(2002)14 final, Brussels.

European Commission (1999), *A concerted strategy for modernising social protection*, Communication from the Commission, COM(99)347 final, Brussels, OJEC, 2000, C 8.

European Commission (1997), *Modernising and Improving Social Protection in the European Union*, Communication from the Commission, COM(97)102, Brussels.

European Commission (1993a), *Social Protection in Europe*, Communication from the Commission, COM(93)531, Brussels.

European Commission (1993b), *Medium-term action programme to combat exclusion and promote solidarity: A new programme to support and stimulate innovation (1994-1999)*, Communication from the Commission, COM(93)435, Brussels.

European Commission (1992), *Towards a Europe of Solidarity: Intensifying the fight against social exclusion, fostering integration*, Communication from the Commission, COM(92)542, Brussels.

European Commission (1989), *The Fight against poverty: Interim Report on the Second European Poverty Programme*, Social Europe, Supplement 2/89, Brussels.

European Commission (1981), *Final Report of the Commission to the Council on the First Programme of Pilot Projects and Pilot Studies to Combat Poverty*, COM(81)769, Brussels.

European Communities (ed.) (2004), *Facing the Challenge. The Lisbon strategy for growth and employment*, Report from the High Level Group chaired by Wim Kok, November 2004, Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

European Parliament (2005), *Report on Social Inclusion in the New Member States (2004/2210(INI))*, Committee on Employment and Social Affairs, A6-0125/2005, Brussels.

Eurostat (2003), *European Social Statistics: Income Poverty and Social Exclusion (2nd Report)*, KS-BP-02-008-EN-C, Luxembourg.

Eurostat (2000), *European Social Statistics: Income Poverty and Social Exclusion (1st Report)*, KS-29-00-181-EN-C, Luxembourg.

Expert group on Household Income Statistics (The Canberra Group) (2001), *Final Report and Recommendations*, Ottawa, <http://www.lisproject.org/links/canberra/finalreport.pdf>

Fahey, T. and Smyth, E. (2004), *Do Subjective Indicators Measure Welfare? Evidence from 33 European Countries*, *European Societies*, 6 (1), pp. 5 – 27.

Fahey, T., Maître, B. and Nolan, B. (2004), *Housing Expenditures and Income Poverty in EU Countries*, *Journal of Social Policy*, 33 (3), pp. 437-454.

Fahey, T., Maître, B., Whelan, C.T., Anderson, R., Domanski, H., Ostrowska, A., Alber, J., Delhey, J., Keck, W., Nauenberg, R., Olagnero, M., Saraceno, C. (2004), *Quality of Life in Europe: First Results of a new pan-European Survey*, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

Ferrera, M. (1996), *The “Southern Model” of Welfare in Social Europe*, in: *Journal of European Social Policy*, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 17-37.

Foidart, F., Génicot, G. and Pestieau, P. (1997), *Echelles d'équivalence et allocations familiales*, *Cahiers Economiques de Bruxelles*, No. 155, pp. 231-238.

Förster, M.F. (2005), *The European Social Space Revisited: Comparing Poverty in the Enlarged European Union*, *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*, 7 (1), pp. 29-48.

Förster, M. (2004), *Longer-Term Trends in Income Poverty in the OECD Area*, *Czech Sociological Review*, Vol. 40, No. 6, pp. 785-805.

Förster, M. and d'Ercole, M. M. (2005), *Income Distribution and Poverty in OECD Countries in the Second Half of the 1990s*, OECD social, employment and migration working papers No. 22.

Förster, M., Fuchs, M., Immervoll, H. and Tarcali, G. (2003), *Social Inclusion in Larger Europe: All About Money? Uses, Limitations and Extensions of Income-based Social Indicators*, in: Förster, M. F. et al (eds.), *Understanding Social Inclusion in a Larger Europe – An Open Debate*, Eurosocial 71/03, Vienna, pp. 11-37.

Fouarge, D. (2004), *Poverty and Subsidiarity in Europe*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham.

Fouarge, D. (2003), *Costs of non-social policy: towards an economic framework of quality social policies – and the costs of not having them*, Study for the European Commission, Brussels.

Frazer, H. (2005a), *The prospects for the fight against poverty in an enlarged EU and its position within the Lisbon Agenda*, paper presented at the EAPN Conference on "The Future of the Inclusion Strategy" (Luxembourg, 14 April 2005), http://eapn.horus.be/module/module_page/images/pdf/pdf_events/HughFrazer04-05.doc

Frazer, H. (2005b), *Speech delivered at the EU Luxembourg Presidency Conference on "Taking Forward the EU Social Inclusion Process"*, Luxembourg 13-14 June 2005, http://www.ceps.lu/eu2005_lu/inclusion/interventions.cfm

Freeman, R. B. (1984), *Longitudinal Analyses of the Effects of Trade Unions*, Journal of Labor Economics, Vol.2, No. 1, pp. 1-26.

Frick, J. and Grabka, M. (2003), *Imputed Rent and Income Inequality: A Decomposition Analysis for Great Britain, West Germany and the U.S.*, Review of Income and Wealth, Series 49, No. 4, pp. 513-537.

Government of Austria (2003), *National Action Plan for Social Inclusion 2003-2005*.

Government of Cyprus (2004), *National Action Plan for Social Inclusion 2004-2006*.

Government of Denmark (2003), *National Action Plan on Social Inclusion 2003-2005*.

Government of Hungary (2004), *National Action Plan on Social Inclusion 2004-2006*.

Government of Lithuania (2004), *National Action Plan against Poverty and Social Exclusion in 2004-2006*.

Government of Luxembourg (2003), *Plan National pour l'Inclusion Sociale Pour le Grand-Duché de Luxembourg, Rapport National 2003-2005*.

Government of the Netherlands (2003), *National Action Plan for combating poverty and social exclusion 2003-2005 Netherlands*.

Government of the United Kingdom (2003), *National Action Plan on Social Inclusion 2003-2005*.

Gregg, P. and Wadsworth, J. (1996), *Mind the Gap*, CEP Discussion Papers 0303, Centre for Economic Performance, LSE, London.

- Guio, A.-C. (forthcoming), *Material Deprivation in the EU*, Statistics in focus, Theme 3, Eurostat, Luxembourg.
- Guio, A.-C. (2005), *Income Poverty and Social Exclusion in the EU25*, Statistics in focus, Theme 3, Eurostat, Luxembourg.
- Guio, A.-C. and Marlier, E. (2004), *The Laeken Indicators: Some Results and Methodological Issues in New EU Member States and Candidate Countries*, in: EMERGO, Journal of Transforming Economies and Societies, Vol. 11, No. 2, Cracow, pp. 21-48.
- Hagenaars A., de Vos K. and Zaidi A. (1994), *Poverty Statistics in the Late 1980s*, Eurostat, Luxembourg.
- Hernanz, V., Malherbet, F. and Pellizzari, M. (2004) *Take up of welfare benefits in OECD countries: a review of the evidence*, OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers No. 17, OECD, Paris.
- Hills, J. (2004), *Inequality and the State*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Hills, J. (2002), *Comprehensibility and Balance: The Case for Putting Indicators in Baskets*, in: *Politica Economica*, No. 1/2002, il Mulino, Bologna, pp. 95-98.
- Hoelscher, P. (2004), *A thematic study using transnational comparisons to analyse and identify what combination of policy responses are most successful in preventing and reducing high levels of child poverty*, Final Report submitted to the European Commission, DG Employment and Social Affairs, Brussels,
http://europa.eu.int/comm/employment_social/social_inclusion/docs/child_poverty_study_en.pdf
- Horemans, L. (ed.) (2003), *Final Report: European Project on Poverty Indicators Starting from the Experience of People Living in Poverty*, Vlaams Netwerk van Verenigingen waar Armen het Woord Nemen, Antwerp.
- Iacovou, M. (2003), *Work-Rich and Work-Poor Couples: polarisation in 14 countries in Europe*, Working Paper 45 of the European Panel Analysis Group, University of Essex, Colchester.
- Immervoll, H. and O'Donoghue, C. (2001), *Imputation of Gross Amounts from Net Incomes in Household Surveys: An Application Using EUROMOD*, EUROMOD Working Paper No. EM/1/01, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.
- Immervoll, H., Levy, H., Lietz, C., Mantovani, D., O'Donoghue, C., Sutherland, H. and Verbist, G. (2004), *The effects of taxes and transfers on household incomes in the European Union*, For presentation at the conference "The Distributional Effects of Government Spending and Taxation" at The Levy Institute of Bard College, USA, 15-16 October 2004.
- Immervoll, H., O'Donoghue, C. and Sutherland, H. (2000), *An Introduction to EUROMOD*, EUROMOD Working Paper, EM0/99, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.
- Immervoll, H., Sutherland, H. and de Vos, K. (2001), *Reducing Child Poverty in the European Union: the Role of Child Benefits*, in: K. Vleminckx and T. M. Smeeding (eds.), *Child Well-Being, Child Poverty and Child Policy in Modern Nations*, Policy Press, Bristol.

Indicators Sub-Group of the Social Protection Committee (2005a), *Indicators of Material Deprivation for Monitoring Poverty and Social Exclusion in the EU*, Document ISG/Doc/Feb05/point 6 prepared by Eurostat for the 22/02/05 meeting of the Indicators Sub-Group, European Commission, Brussels.

Indicators Sub-Group of the Social Protection Committee (2005b), *Conclusions of the ISG Meeting of 22 February 2005*, European Commission, Brussels.

Indicators Sub-Group of the Social Protection Committee (2005c), *Minutes of the ISG Meeting of 15 June 2005*, European Commission, Brussels.

Indicators Sub-Group of the Social Protection Committee (2004a), *Health and social exclusion related indicators used at national level: Review of National Action Plans for Social Inclusion*, Document prepared by the Indicators Sub-Group secretariat for the 30/03/04 meeting of the Indicators Sub-Group, European Commission, Brussels.

Indicators Sub-Group of the Social Protection Committee (2004b), *Overview of third level indicators used in the NAP Incl. relating to the social inclusion of "foreigners, immigrants and ethnic minorities" (first discussion on possible common indicators)*, Document ISG2004/16.09.2004/2 prepared by the Indicators Sub-Group secretariat for the 16/09/04 meeting of the Indicators Sub-Group, European Commission, Brussels.

Irish National Economic and Social Council (2003), *An Investment in Quality: Services, Inclusion and Enterprise*, <http://www.nesc.ie/dynamic/docs/nesc111.pdf>

Jeandidier, B. (1997a), *L'analyse des dimensions redistributives des politiques familiales. Des méthodes et des résultats qui stimulent la curiosité*, Recherches et Prévisions, No. 48, pp. 5-26.

Jeandidier, B. (1997b), *La spécificité des politiques familiales en Europe. Une application menée à l'aide de microsimulations*, Recherches et Prévisions, No. 48, pp. 27-44.

Jeandidier, B. and Reinstadler, A. (2002), *Pauvreté des enfants dans l'Union Européenne et transferts sociaux : quels liens entre générosité, ciblage, efficacité, efficience et équité ?*, in: Dupuis, J.-M., El Moudden, C., Gravel, F., Lebon, I., Maurau, G. and Ogier, N., *Politiques sociales et croissance économique*, Ed. L'Harmattan, Vol. 1, pp.351-366.

Jeandidier, B., Bastian, N., Jankeliowitch-Laval, E., Kop, J-L. and Ray, J-C. (1995), *Analyse et simulation de politiques de prestations familiales en Europe: une comparaison entre la France et l'Allemagne, la Belgique, l'Irlande et le Luxembourg*, ADEPS-Université, Nancy.

Jesuit, D., Rainwater, L. and T. Smeeding (2002), *Regional Poverty within Rich Countries*, in: Bishop, John A. and Yoram Amiel (eds.), *Inequality, Welfare and Poverty: Theory and Measurement*, Vol. 9, Elsevier Science, New York, pp. 345-377.

Johansson, S. (1973), *The Level of Living Survey: a Presentation*, Acta Sociologica, Vol. 16, pp. 211-219.

Killingsworth, M. R. (1983), *Labor Supply*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Kuivalainen, S. (2003), *How to compare the incomparable: an international comparison of the impact of housing costs on levels of social assistance*, European Journal of Social Security, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 128-150.

Kutsar, D. (2005), *Speech delivered at the EU Luxembourg Presidency Conference on "Taking Forward the EU Social Inclusion Process"*, Luxembourg 13-14 June 2005, http://www.ceps.lu/eu2005_lu/inclusion/interventions.cfm

Laroque, G. (2005), *Income Maintenance and Labor Force Participation*, *Econometrica*, Vol. 73, No. 2, pp. 341-376.

Laroque, G. and Salanié, B. (2002), *Labour Market Institutions and Employment in France*, *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, Vol. 17, pp. 25-48.

Legendre, F., Lorgnet, J.-P. and Thibault, F. (2003), *Que peut-on retenir de l'expérience française en matière de micro-simulation?*, *Economie et Statistique*, No. 160-161, pp. I-XV.

Leibfried, S. (1992), *Towards a European Welfare State? On Integrating Poverty Regimes into the European Community*, in: Ferge, Z. and Kolberg, J. (eds.), *Social Policy in a Changing Europe*, Campus Verlag, Frankfurt am Main.

Lelièvre, M., Marlier, E. and Pétour, P. (2004), *Un nouvel indicateur européen: les travailleurs pauvres*, paper presented at the Conference on "Accès inégal à l'emploi et à la protection sociale" (University Paris 1, Matisse, Paris, 16-17 September 2004), <http://matisse.univ-paris1.fr/colloque-eps/>

Lenoir, R. (1974), *Les exclus*, Seuil, Paris.

Levy, H. (2003), *Child-targeted tax-benefit reform in Spain in a European context: a microsimulation using EUROMOD*, EUROMOD Working Paper EM2/03, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.

Maître, B., Nolan, B. and Whelan, C.T. (2005), *Welfare regimes and household income packaging in the European Union*, *Journal of European Social Policy*, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 157-171.

Marlier, E. (2003), *The EU Social Inclusion Process - Where We Were, Where We Are and What We Should Aim At...*, in: Förster, M. F. et al (eds.), *Understanding Social Inclusion in a Larger Europe – An Open Debate*, Eurosocal 71/03, Vienna, pp. 55-67.

Marlier, E. and Cohen-Solal, M. (2000), *Social benefits and their redistributive effect in the EU – Latest data available*, *Statistics in focus*, Theme 3, 09/2000, Eurostat, Luxembourg.

Matsaganis, M., O'Donoghue, C., Levy, H., Coromaldi M., Mercader-Prats, M., Rodrigues, C. F., Toso, S. and Tsakoglou, P. (2004), *Child poverty and family transfers in Southern Europe*, EUROMOD Working Paper No. EM2/04, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.

Meade, J. E. (1962), *UK, Commonwealth and Common Market*, Hobart Paper No. 17, Institute of Economic Affairs, London.

Melkert, A. P. W. (1997), *Speech by the Minister of Social Affairs and Employment of the Netherlands Mr A.P.W. Melkert, at the occasion of the "For a Europe of Civil and Social Rights" Conference, on 4 June, 1997 in Brussels*, http://home.szw.nl/actueel/dsp_persbericht.cfm?jaar=1997&link_id=725

Micklewright, J. and Stewart, K. (2001), *Child well-being in the EU – and enlargement to the East*, in: Vleminckx, K. and Smeeding, T.M., *Child Well-being, Child Poverty and Child Policy in Modern Nations*, Policy Press, Bristol.

- Morley, J., Ward, T. and Watt, A. (2004), *The State of Working Europe 2004*, ETUI, Brussels.
- Murat, F. (2004), *Les difficultés des adultes face à l'écrit*, INSEE Première No. 959, April, INSEE, Paris.
- Nelson, K. (2003), *Fighting poverty. Comparative studies on social insurance, means-tested benefits and income distribution*, Stockholm University, Stockholm.
- Nolan, B. (1999), *Targeting Poverty*, *New Economy*, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 44-49.
- Nolan, B. and Whelan, C. T. (1996), *Resources, Deprivation and Poverty*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- OECD (2005), *Extending Opportunities: How Active Social Policy Can Benefit Us All*, OECD, Paris.
- OECD (2004), *Benefits and Wages*, OECD, Paris.
- OECD (2003), *Taxing wages*, OECD, Paris.
- O'Higgins, M. and Jenkins, S. (1990), *Poverty in Europe: Estimates for 1975, 1980 and 1985*, *Analysing Poverty in the European Community*, Eurostat News Special Edition, 1-1990, Luxembourg.
- Oxley, H., Dang, T.-T., Förster, M.F. and Pellizari, M. (2001), *Income Inequality and Poverty among Children and Households with Children in Selected OECD Countries: Trends and Determinants*, in: Vlemminckx, K. and Smeeding, T.M. (eds.), *Child Well-being, Child Poverty and Child Policy in Modern Nations: What Do We Know?* Policy Press, Bristol, pp. 371-405.
- Peña Casas, R. and Latta, M. (2004), *Working Poor in the European Union*, European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, <http://www.fr.eurofound.eu.int/publications/files/EF0467EN.pdf>
- Politica Economica (2002), *Special issue devoted to Indicators for Social Inclusion: Making Common EU Objectives Work*, No. 1/2002, il Mulino, Bologna.
- Potůček M. (2004), *Accession and Social Policy: The Case of the Czech Republic*, *Journal of European Social Policy*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 253-266.
- Ritakallio, V.-M. (2003), *The Importance of Housing Costs in Cross-national Comparisons of Welfare (State) Outcomes*, [International Social Security Review](#), vol. 56, No. 2, pp. 81-101.
- Ritakallio, V.-M. (2002), *New recommendations for compilation of statistics will change the cross-national picture of poverty in Europe*, Paper presented at the EU COST A15 meeting in Urbino, 25 October 2002.
- Ritakallio, V.-M. and Bradshaw, J. (2005), *Child Poverty in the European Union*, Nordic Research Council Project.
- Room, G. (2005), *Policy Benchmarking in the European Union: Indicators and Ambiguities*, in: *Policy Studies*, Vol. 26, No. 2.
- Room, G. (ed.) (1995), *Beyond the Threshold*, Policy Press, Bristol.

Ruxton, S. and Bennett, F. (2002), *Including Children?*, Euronet, Brussels.

Sakellariopoulos, T. and Berghman, J. (eds.) (2004), *Connecting Welfare Diversity within the European Social Model*, Social Europe Series, Vol. 9, intersentia, Antwerpen-Oxford-New York.

Saraceno, C. (ed.) (2002), *Social assistance dynamics in Europe*, Policy Press, Bristol.

Schmähl, W. (2004), *EU Enlargement and Social Security*, Intereconomics, Vol. 39, No. 1, pp. 1-8.

Sen, A.K. (1985), *Commodities and capabilities*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

Silver, H. (1995), *Reconceptualizing social disadvantage: three paradigms of social exclusion*, in: Rodgers, G., Gore, C. and Figueiredo J. (eds.), *Social Exclusion: Rhetoric, Reality, Responses*, ILO, Geneva.

Social Protection Committee (2003a), *Opinion of the Social Protection Committee on the Commission's Communication on Strengthening the social dimension of the Lisbon strategy: Streamlining open coordination in the field of social protection (COM(2003)261 final)*, Brussels,
http://europa.eu.int/comm/employment_social/social_protection_committee/streamlining_en.pdf

Social Protection Committee (2003b), *Common Outline for the 2003/2005 NAPs/inclusion*, Brussels,
http://europa.eu.int/comm/employment_social/social_inclusion/docs/commonoutline2003final_en.pdf

Social Protection Committee (2001), *Report on indicators in the field of poverty and social exclusion*, Brussels,
http://www.europa.eu.int/comm/employment_social/news/2002/jan/report_ind_en.pdf

Špidla, V. (2005), *Speech delivered at the EU Luxembourg Presidency Conference on "Taking Forward the EU Social Inclusion Process"*, Luxembourg 13-14 June 2005,
http://www.ceps.lu/eu2005_lu/inclusion/interventions.cfm

Stewart, K. (2003), *Monitoring social inclusion in Europe's regions*, Journal of European Social Policy, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 335-356.

Sutherland, H. (2005), *Speech delivered at the EU Luxembourg Presidency Conference on "Taking Forward the EU Social Inclusion Process"*, Luxembourg 13-14 June 2005,
http://www.ceps.lu/eu2005_lu/inclusion/interventions.cfm

Sutherland, H. (2001), *EUROMOD: an integrated European Benefit-Tax Mode*, EUROMOD Working Paper EM9/01, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.

Sutherland, H. (1998), *Les modèles statiques de microsimulation en Europe dans les années 90*, Economie et Statistique, 315 (5), pp. 35-50.

Sutherland, H. (1997), *Women, Men and the Redistribution of Income*, Fiscal Studies, 18, pp. 1-22.

Tsakoglou, P. and Papadopoulos, F. (2002), *Aggregate level and determining factors of social exclusion in twelve European countries*, in: *Journal of European Social Policy*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 209-223.

UK Department of Work and Pensions (2005), *Households below Average Income 1994/5-2003/4*, London.

UK Department for Work and Pensions (2003), *Measuring child poverty*, London.

UK Department of Social Security (1995), *Piloting change in Social Security*, Department of Social Security, London.

UNDP (1990), *Human Development Report 1990*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

UNICEF (2005), *Child Poverty in Rich Countries*, Report Card No. 6, Innocenti Research Centre, Florence.

US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (1969), *Toward a Social Report*, U.S. Government printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Van den Bosch, K. (2002), *Convergence in Poverty Outcomes and Social Income Transfers in Member States of the EU*, Paper for the XV World Congress of Sociology in Brisbane, July 2002.

Van den Bosch, K. (1998), *Poverty and assets in Belgium*, Review of Income and Wealth, Series 44, No. 2, pp. 215-228.

van der Molen, I. and Novikova, I. (2005), *Mainstreaming gender in the EU accession process: the case of the Baltic Republics*, in: *Journal of European Social Policy*, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 139-156.

Van Doorslaer, E., Koolman, X. and Jones, A.M. (2004), *Explaining income-related inequalities in health care utilisation in Europe: a decomposition approach*, *Health Economics*, Vol. 13, No. 7.

Van Doorslaer, E., Koolman X. and Puffer, F. (2001), *Equity in the Use of Physician Visits in OECD Countries: Has Equal Treatment for Equal Need Been Achieved?*, Chapter 11, *Measuring Up: Improving Health Systems Performance in OECD Countries*, Proceedings of the Ottawa Conference, November 2001.

Van Doorslaer, E., Masseria C. and the OECD Health Equity Research Group (2004), *Income-Related Inequality in the Use of Medical Care in 21 OECD Countries*, OECD Health Working Papers Number 14, OECD, Paris.

Van Doorslaer, E., Wagstaff A., van der Burg, H., Christiansen. T., De Graeve. D., Duchesne, I., Gerdtham, U.-G., Gerfin, M., Geurts, J., Gross, L., Häkkinen, U., John, J., Klavus, J., Leu, R. E., Nolan, B., O'Donnell, O., Propper, C., Puffer, F., Schellhorn, M., Sundberg, G., Winkelhake, O. (2000), *Equity in the delivery of health care in Europe and the US*, *Journal of Health Economics*, Vol. 19, 5, pp. 553-583.

Van Oorschot, W. (1995), *Realizing rights. A multi-level approach to non-take-up of means-tested benefits*, Aldershot, Avebury.

Vandenbroucke, F. (2002), *The EU and Social Protection: What should the European Convention propose?* Paper presented at the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Societies on 17 June 2002, Cologne.

Večerník, J. (2004), *Structural Tensions in the Interface between the Labour Market and Social Policy in the Czech Republic*, Sociological Studies 0404, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.

Večerník, J. (1991), *Poverty in Czechoslovakia – A Brief Report Based on Two Surveys*, unpublished.

Verbist, G. (2005), *Replacement incomes and taxes: A distributional analysis for the EU-15 countries*, EUROMOD Working Paper No. EM2/05, Department of Applied Economics, Cambridge.

Verbist, G. (2002), *An Inquiry into the Redistributive Effect of Personal Income Taxes in Belgium*, Doctoral dissertation, University of Antwerp, Antwerp.

Verma, V., Lemmi, A., Betti, G., Mulas, A., Natilli, M., Neri, L. and Salvati, N. (2005), *Regional Indicators to Reflect Social Exclusion and Poverty*, Report prepared for Directorate-General "Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities" of the European Commission, [http://www.unisi.it/ricerca/dip/dmq/verma/Reports/\[16\]%20Regional%20Indicators%20Social%20Exclusion%20and%20Poverty.pdf](http://www.unisi.it/ricerca/dip/dmq/verma/Reports/[16]%20Regional%20Indicators%20Social%20Exclusion%20and%20Poverty.pdf)

Vleminckx, K. and Smeeding, T. (2001), *Child Well-Being, Child Poverty and Child Policy in Modern Nations*, Policy Press, Bristol.

Walker, R. (1995), *The dynamics of poverty and social exclusion*, in: Room G. (ed.), *Beyond the Threshold*, Policy Press, Bristol.

Whelan, C. T., Layte, R. and Maitre, B. (2004), *Understanding the Mismatch Between Income Poverty and Deprivation: A Dynamic Comparative Analysis*, *European Sociological Review*, 20(4).

Whelan, C. T., Layte, R. and Maitre, B. (2002), *Multiple Deprivation and Persistent Poverty in the European Union*, *Journal of European Social Policy*, 12(2), pp. 91-105.

Whelan, C.T., Layte, R., Maitre, B. and Nolan, N. (2001), *Income, Deprivation and Economic Strain*, *European Sociological Review*, 17 (4), 357-72.

